arXiv:1212.0531v1 [math.RT] 3 Dec 2012

The Hall module of an exact category with duality

M.B. Young

Department of Mathematics, Stony Brook University,
Stony Brook, New York, 11794-3651, U.S.A.

myoung@math.sunysb.edu

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category with finite Hom and Ext^1 sets. In [19], Ringel constructed from $\mathcal{A}$ an associative algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$, the Hall algebra, whose multiplication encodes the extension structure of $\mathcal{A}$. There is also a natural coalgebra structure on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Green [8] showed that if $\mathcal{A}$ is hereditary then, after a suitable twist, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a bialgebra. Hall algebras provide realizations of a number of interesting algebras. When $\mathcal{A} = \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(Q)$ is the category of representations of a quiver Q over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, $\mathcal{H}_Q$ contains a subalgebra isomorphic to the positive part of the quantum Kac-Moody algebra associated to Q, specialized at $\sqrt{q}$ [20], [8]. The Hall algebra itself is isomorphic to the positive part of a quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebra [25] whose identification remains a difficult problem. A second class of examples takes $\mathcal{A}$ to be the category of coherent sheaves on a smooth projective curve X defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. When $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ the Hall algebra contains a subalgebra isomorphic to a positive part of the quantum affine algebra $U_v(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ [11], while the Hall algebra of an elliptic curve is related to Cherednik's double affine Hecke algebra [23].

The Hall algebra construction applies more generally to exact categories [9]. The Hall algebra of the category of vector bundles is an important such example. See also [7] for an example arising from the representation theory of quivers of local rings. The similarities between Hall algebras of certain exact categories and quantum nilpotent groups were recently emphasized in [3].

The first goal of this paper is to describe an analogue of the Hall algebra construction when objects of $\mathcal{A}$ are allowed to carry categorical non-degenerate forms. In Theorem 3.4, we associate to an exact category with duality $\mathcal{A}$ a module over $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$, called the Hall module. The module structure is a categorical generalization of parabolic induction for classical groups preserving a non-degenerate bilinear form. Similarly, the Hall module is a $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$-comodule. Many of the formal properties of Hall algebras have analogues for Hall modules. We describe a grading on the Hall module and use this to twist the module structure to obtain a module over the Ringel-Hall algebra.

We study in detail Hall modules arising from the representation theory of a quiver with involution (Q, σ). From this data and a choice of signs we define an abelian category with duality whose underlying category is $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(Q)$. We require q to be an odd prime power. In particular cases, the self-dual objects coincide with the orthogonal and symplectic representations of [4]. Denote the corresponding Ringel-Hall module by $\mathcal{M}_Q$. The action and coaction of simple representations on $\mathcal{M}_Q$ can be interpreted as operators of parabolic induction and restriction. Our first main result specific to quivers, Theorem 5.3, asserts that these operators give $\mathcal{M}_Q$ the structure of a module over $B_\sigma(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$, the reduced σ-analogue of the quantum group $U_v(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$, specialized at $\sqrt{q}$. This statement can be viewed as a partial replacement of Green's Theorem for Hall modules. Our proof of Theorem 5.3 is combinatorial in nature and involves counting configurations of self-dual extensions. In Proposition 5.9 we identify the $B_\sigma(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$-submodule of $\mathcal{M}_Q$ generated by the trivial self-dual representation as a weight module, giving an analogue of Ringel and Green's identification of the composition subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}_Q$ with $U^+_{\sqrt{q}}(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$. Our proof uses a non-degenerate bilinear form on $\mathcal{M}_Q$ and a criterion of Enomoto and Kashiwara [6].

In [5], Enomoto showed that induction and restriction operators endow the Grothendieck group of a category of perverse sheaves on the moduli stack of orthogonal representations with the structure of a weight module for $B_\sigma(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$. This is a geometric version of Theorem 5.3 and Proposition 5.9 for orthogonal representations. Our proof uses entirely different methods. The existence of both geometric and combinatorial approaches suggests that a self-dual analogue of Lusztig's purity result [13] for multiplicity complexes of perverse sheaves should hold. This would provide a direct link between [5] and this paper.

Restricting to finite type quivers we obtain a more complete description of $\mathcal{M}_Q$. Unlike ordinary representations, there are in general non-trivial $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q$-forms of self-dual representations. We use Galois cohomology to explicitly describe all such forms and classify indecomposable self-dual $\mathbb{F}_q$-representations in Proposition 6.3, extending the results of [4] over algebraically closed fields. We show in Theorem 7.2 that if there are no non-trivial forms then $\mathcal{M}_Q$ is irreducible. Together with Proposition 5.9, this completely describes finite type Hall modules without non-trivial forms. When non-trivial forms are present, $\mathcal{M}_Q$ is reducible. In this case, we conjecture (Conjecture 7.5) a decomposition of $\mathcal{M}_Q$ into weight modules with generators given by weighted averages of Frobenius orbits of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q$-forms. We provide partial confirmation of this conjecture when Q is equioriented of type A.

For finite type quivers, Ringel [21] proved that the structure constants of $\mathcal{H}_Q$ are specializations of universal polynomials with integer coefficients, the so-called Hall polynomials. In Theorem 8.6 we show that when Q is an equioriented type A quiver without $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q$-forms, the structure constants of $\mathcal{M}_Q$ are also specializations universal polynomials with integer coefficients, which we call self-dual Hall polynomials. When Q has $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q$-forms, self-dual Hall polynomials do not exist. However, we prove that once the residue of q modulo four is fixed, self-dual Hall polynomials exist and have coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$. We believe these statements should hold without the equiorientation assumption. The splitting of self-dual Hall polynomials can be understood as a dependence of the structure constants of $\mathcal{M}_Q$ on the Witt group of orthogonal forms over $\mathbb{F}_q$. In Theorem 8.7, we use generic Hall modules to show that the Hall module is in a certain sense insensitive to the splitting of self-dual Hall polynomials.

When $\mathcal{A}$ is the category of finite dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q[t]_{t-1}$-modules, $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$ is identified with the Hopf algebra of class functions on all groups $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ [29]. Taking $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear duals gives $\mathcal{A}$ a duality structure for which $\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A}$ is identified with class functions for orthogonal or symplectic groups over $\mathbb{F}_q$. In this guise, $\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A}$ was studied in [27] (see also [26] for a p-adic version) where it was shown to be a twisted Hopf module over $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{A}$. This can be viewed as a full analogue of Green's Theorem in this particular case. It would be very interesting to extend this result to more general Hall modules.

The structure of the paper is as follows. Section 2 reviews the basic properties of Hall algebras of exact categories. In Section 3 we introduce the Hall module of an exact category with duality. Section 4 describes a duality structure on the category of representations of a quiver with involution. In Section 5 we establish a partial analogue of Green's Theorem for quivers with involution. Section 6 classifies self-dual $\mathbb{F}_q$-representations of finite type quivers, which is used in Section 7 to study finite type Hall modules. Section 8 contains a proof of existence of self-dual Hall polynomials for equioriented type A quivers.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank C. Hao for helpful comments during the preparation of this work and M. Movshev for his insights and encouragement. This work was partially supported by a NSERC Postgraduate Scholarship.

2. The Hall algebra of an exact category

We recall the definition and basic properties of the Hall algebra of an exact or abelian category. For full details see [22], [9].

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an essentially small category endowed with an exact structure in the sense of Quillen [17]. In particular, $\mathcal{A}$ is additive and is equipped with a collection $\mathcal{E}$ of kernel-cokernel pairs (i,π), called short exact sequences and denoted by $U \overset{i}{\rightarrowtail} X \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} V$. A morphism i is an admissible monic if it occurs in a pair $(i,\pi) \in \mathcal{E}$. Admissible epics are defined analogously. Important examples of exact categories include abelian categories and their extension closed full subcategories.

Write $Iso(\mathcal{A})$ for the set of isomorphism classes of objects of $\mathcal{A}$. The Grothendieck group $K(\mathcal{A})$ is the abelian group generated by the symbols $|X|$, $X \in Iso(\mathcal{A})$, modulo the relation $|X|-|U|-|V|$ for each sequence $U \rightarrowtail X \twoheadrightarrow V$.

Denote by $\underline{\mathcal{E}}_{U,V}^{X}$ the set of all exact sequences $U \rightarrowtail X \twoheadrightarrow V$. If for all $U,V \in \mathcal{A}$ the set $Hom(U,V)$ is finite and $\underline{\mathcal{E}}_{U,V}^{X}$ is non-empty for only finitely many $X \in Iso(\mathcal{A})$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is called finitary.

For a finitary exact category $\mathcal{A}$, the Hall numbers are defined by $F_{U,V}^{X} = |\underline{F}_{U,V}^{X}|$, where

$$\underline{F}_{U,V}^{X} = \left\{ \tilde{U} \subset X \mid \tilde{U} \simeq U, \ X/\tilde{U} \simeq V \right\}.$$

The subobjects $\tilde{U}$ are assumed to be admissible. Denoting by $a(U) = |Aut(U)|$, the Hall numbers are related to $\underline{\mathcal{E}}_{U,V}^{X}$ through the equation

$$F_{U,V}^{X} = \frac{|\underline{\mathcal{E}}_{U,V}^{X}|}{a(U)a(V)},$$

so that results below could also be stated in terms of $|\underline{\mathcal{E}}_{U,V}^{X}|$.

Let R be an integral domain containing $\mathbb{Q}$ and put

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}} = \bigoplus_{U \in Iso(\mathcal{A})} R[U].$$

Fix a bilinear form $c : K(\mathcal{A}) \times K(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{Z}$ and a unit $v \in R$.

Theorem 2.1 ([19], [9],[8]). *(1) $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a unital R-algebra when given the product*

$$[U][V] = v^{c(V,U)} \sum_{X \in Iso(\mathcal{A})} F_{U,V}^{X}[X]$$

and unit $[0]$, the class of the zero object of $\mathcal{A}$.

(2) $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a topological counital R-coalgebra when given the coproduct

$$\Delta[X] = \sum_{U,V \in Iso(\mathcal{A})} v^{c(V,U)} \frac{a(U)a(V)}{a(X)} F_{U,V}^{X} [U] \otimes [V]$$

and counit $\epsilon[X] = \delta_{X,0}$

Both the algebra and coalgebra structures respect the natural $K(\mathcal{A})$-grading of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$. In general, the coproduct Δ takes values in the completion $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ consisting of all formal linear combinations $\sum_{U,V} c_{U,V} [U] \otimes [V]$. The meaning of the word topological as used above is that the compositions $(\Delta \otimes 1) \circ \Delta$ and $(1 \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$ are compared as maps $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$; see [22].

The algebra and coalgebra structures of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ are compatible only under stronger assumptions on $\mathcal{A}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is abelian and, for simplicity, $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear. If $\mathcal{A}$ has finite homological dimension the Euler form is given by

$$\langle U, V \rangle = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} Ext^i(U, V)$$

and descends to a form on $K(\mathcal{A})$. The symmetrization of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is written $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Taking $c = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is called the Ringel-Hall algebra.

Theorem 2.2 (Green's Theorem [8]). *Let* $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ *be the Ringel-Hall algebra of a hereditary abelian category* $\mathcal{A}$. *Equip* $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ *with the algebra structure given on homogeneous elements by*

$$(x \otimes y) \cdot (z \otimes w) = v^{(y,z)} xz \otimes yw, \quad x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Then $\Delta : \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ *is an algebra homomorphism.*

Recall that Green defined [8] an R-valued non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ by $([U], [V])_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{\delta_{U,V}}{a(U)}$. This form satisfies

$$(x \otimes y, \Delta z)_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}} = (xy, z)_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad x, y, z \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$$

where $(x \otimes y, x' \otimes y')_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}} = (x, x')_{\mathcal{H}} (y, y')_{\mathcal{H}}$.

3. The Hall module of an exact category with duality

In this section we introduce the central object of this paper, the Hall module of an exact category with duality.

Definition ([2]). *An exact category with duality is a triple* $(\mathcal{A}, S, \Theta)$ *consisting of an exact category* $\mathcal{A}$, *an exact contravariant functor* $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ *and a natural transformation* $\Theta : 1_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow S^2$ *satisfying* $S(\Theta_U) \Theta_{S(U)} = 1_{S(U)}$ *for all* $U \in \mathcal{A}$.

We often refer to $\mathcal{A}$ as an exact category with duality if S and Θ are clear from the context.

Definition. *A self-dual structure on* $N \in \mathcal{A}$ *is an isomorphism* $N \xrightarrow{\psi} S(N)$ *satisfying* $S(\psi)\Theta_N = \psi$. *The pair* (N, ψ), *or just* N *if no confusion will arise, is called a self-dual object.*

Write $\mathcal{A}_S$ for the set of self-dual objects of $\mathcal{A}$. An isometry $(N, \psi) \rightarrow (N', \psi')$ is an isomorphism $N \xrightarrow{\Phi} N'$ satisfying $S(\Phi)\psi'\Phi = \psi$. The equivalence relation induced by isometries is denoted by $\simeq_S$. The set of isometry classes of self-dual objects $Iso_S(\mathcal{A})$ is an abelian monoid under the operation of orthogonal direct sum.

Definition. *An isotropic subobject of* (N, ψ) *is an admissible monic* $U \overset{i}{\rightarrowtail} N$ *such that* $S(i)\psi i : U \rightarrow S(U)$ *is zero and the canonical morphism* $U \hookrightarrow U^{\perp} := \ker(S(i)\psi)$ *is admissible.*

We write $U \overset{\perp}{\subset} N$ to denote an isotropic subobject. An isotropic subobject is Lagrangian if $U = U^{\perp}$. A self-dual object possessing a Lagrangian is called metabolic. For each $U \in \mathcal{A}$, the direct sum $U \oplus S(U)$ has a canonical hyperbolic self-dual structure,

$$H(U) = \left(U \oplus S(U), \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_{S(U)} \\ \Theta_U & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

If $U \overset{i}{\rightarrowtail} N$ is isotropic, denote by $N//U$ the quotient object defined by the exact sequence

$$U \overset{i}{\rightarrowtail} U^{\perp} \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} N//U.$$

The next result provides a categorical generalization of linear orthogonal or symplectic reduction.

Proposition 3.1 ([15, Proposition 5.2]). *Let $U \overset{\perp}{\subset} (N, \psi)$. There exists a self-dual structure $\tilde{\psi}$ on $N//U$, unique up to isometry, making the following diagram commute*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U^{\perp} \arrow[r, two heads, "\pi"] \arrow[d, tail, "k"'] & N//U \arrow[d, tail, "S(\pi)\tilde{\psi}"] \\
N \arrow[r, two heads, "S(k)\psi"'] & S(U^{\perp})
\end{tikzcd}.$$

Next, we introduce a version of short exact sequences for exact categories with duality.

Definition. *For $U \in \mathcal{A}$, $M, N \in \mathcal{A}_S$, let $\underline{\mathcal{G}}^{N}_{U,M}$ be the set of all equivalence classes of exact commutative diagrams of the form*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U \arrow[r, tail, "j"] \arrow[d, equal] & E \arrow[r, two heads, "\pi"] \arrow[d, tail, "k"'] & M \arrow[d, tail, "S(\pi)\psi_M"] \\
U \arrow[r, tail, "i"] & N \arrow[r, two heads, "S(k)\psi_N"] \arrow[d, two heads, "S(i)\psi_N"'] & S(E) \arrow[d, two heads, "S(j)"] \\
 & S(U) \arrow[r, equal] & S(U)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Two diagrams are equivalent if there exists an isomorphism $E \to E'$ making all appropriate diagrams commute.

Elements of $\underline{\mathcal{G}}^{N}_{U,M}$ are called self-dual exact sequences and are denoted by $U \overset{i}{\rightarrowtail} N \overset{\pi}{\dashrightarrow\!\!\!\!\to} M$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finitary exact category with duality. For $U \in \mathcal{A}$ and $M, N \in \mathcal{A}_S$ define a finite set

$$\underline{G}^{N}_{U,M} = \left\{ \tilde{U} \overset{\perp}{\subset} N \mid \tilde{U} \simeq U, \;\; N//\tilde{U} \simeq_S M \right\}.$$

The numbers $G^{N}_{U,M} = |\underline{G}^{N}_{U,M}|$ are called self-dual Hall numbers. Denote by $Aut_S(M)$ the group of self-isometries of $M \in \mathcal{A}_S$ and put $a_S(M) = |Aut_S(M)|$. Also put $\mathcal{G}^{N}_{U,M} = |\underline{\mathcal{G}}^{N}_{U,M}|$.

Lemma 3.2. *The identity $G^{N}_{U,M} = \dfrac{\mathcal{G}^{N}_{U,M}}{a(U)a_S(M)}$ holds.*

Proof. The group $Aut(U) \times Aut_S(M)$ acts on $\underline{\mathcal{G}}^{N}_{U,M}$ by

$$(g, h) \cdot (E; i, j, k, \pi) = (E; ig^{-1}, jg^{-1}, k, h\pi), \quad (g, h) \in Aut(U) \times Aut_S(M).$$

If (g, h) fixes $(E; i, j, k, \pi)$, then $g = 1_U$ and $kr = k$, $g\pi = \pi r$ for some $r \in Aut(E)$. The first equation implies $r = 1_E$ while the second implies $g = 1_M$. Hence the action is free.

The map $\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{U,M}^{N} \to \underline{G}_{U,M}^{N}$ assigning to $(E; i, j, k, \pi)$ the image of i in N is $Aut(U) \times Aut_S(M)$-invariant and induces a bijection $\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{U,M}^{N} / Aut(U) \times Aut_S(M) \to \underline{G}_{U,M}^{N}$. $\square$

Self-dual Hall numbers obey the following finite support condition that can be viewed as a self-dual analogue of Ext^1-finiteness.

Lemma 3.3. *For fixed $U \in \mathcal{A}$, $M \in \mathcal{A}_S$, $\underline{G}_{U,M}^{N}$ is non-empty for only finitely many $N \in Iso_S(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. If $\underline{G}_{U,M}^{N}$ is non-empty, then N fits into the diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & E \arrow[r, tail] \arrow[d, equal] & N \arrow[r, two heads] & S(U) \\
U \arrow[r, tail] & E \arrow[r, two heads] & M &
\end{tikzcd}$$

By Ext^1-finiteness, only finitely many isomorphism types of E, and hence N, may appear. By Hom-finiteness, N admits at most finitely many self-dual structures and the statement follows. $\square$

We now define the Hall module $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ associated to $(\mathcal{A}, S, \Theta)$. Set $c = 0$.

Theorem 3.4. *(1) $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}} = \bigoplus_{M \in Iso_S(\mathcal{A})} R[M]$ is a $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$-module when given the action*

$$[U] \star [M] = \sum_{N \in Iso_S(\mathcal{A})} G_{U,M}^{N} [N].$$

(2) $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a topological $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$-comodule when given the comodule structure map

$$\rho[N] = \sum_{U \in Iso(\mathcal{A})} \sum_{M \in Iso_S(\mathcal{A})} \frac{a(U) a_S(M)}{a_S(N)} G_{U,M}^{N} [U] \otimes [M].$$

Proof. The associativity of the action of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is equivalent to the identity

$$\sum_{W \in Iso(\mathcal{A})} F_{U,V}^{W} G_{W,M}^{N} = \sum_{P \in Iso_S(\mathcal{A})} G_{U,P}^{N} G_{V,M}^{P}, \qquad U, V \in \mathcal{A},\ M, N \in \mathcal{A}_S. \tag{1}$$

Interpreting this in terms of isotropic filtrations, equation (1) is equivalent to the statement that, for fixed $U \overset{\perp}{\subset} N$, the assignment $V \mapsto V/U$ gives a bijection

$$\left\{ V \overset{\perp}{\subset} N \mid U \subset V \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \tilde{V} \overset{\perp}{\subset} N//U \right\}$$

such that $(N//U)//(V/U) \simeq_S N//V$. To prove this, we first observe that the assignment $V \mapsto V/U$ gives a bijection $\{V \subset U^{\perp} \mid U \subset V\} \leftrightarrow \{\tilde{V} \subset N//U\}$. Using the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
N \arrow[r, two heads] & S(U^{\perp}) \arrow[r, two heads] & S(V^{\perp}) \arrow[r, two heads] & S(V) \\
U^{\perp} \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[u, tail] & N//U \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[u, tail] & S(V^{\perp}/U) \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[u, tail] & S(V/U) \arrow[u, tail] \\
V^{\perp} \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[u, tail] & V^{\perp}/U \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[u, tail] & N//V \arrow[u, tail] & \\
V \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[u, tail] & V/U \arrow[u, tail] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

we conclude that $V/U \subset N//U$ is isotropic if and only if $V \subset N$ is isotropic. Hence the desired bijection holds.

Next, we claim that $(V/U)^{\perp} \subset N//U$ is naturally identified with $V^{\perp}/U$. Indeed, the previous diagram implies $V^{\perp}/U \rightarrowtail (V/U)^{\perp}$. Moreover, this map is surjective since the canonical map $V^{\perp} \to (V/U)^{\perp}$ is surjective. Hence $(N//U)//(V/U) \simeq N//V$. Moreover, this isomorphism is an isometry, as can be seen by considering the three nested central squares in the diagram, presenting $N//U$, $N//V$ and $(N//V)//(V/U)$ as self-dual reductions. This proves the first statement.

As for the second statement, we must show that the maps

$$(1 \otimes \rho) \circ \rho, \ \ (\Delta \otimes 1) \circ \rho : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$$

are comparable, the completions again consisting of all formal linear combinations. The terms contributing to the coefficient of $[U_1] \otimes [U_2] \otimes [M]$ in $(1 \otimes \rho) \circ \rho$ are of the form $[U_1] \otimes [N]$ with $N//U_2 \simeq_S M$. By Lemma 3.3 the number of such terms is finite. Similarly, the number of terms contributing to $[U_1] \otimes [U_2] \otimes [M]$ in $(\Delta \otimes 1) \circ \rho$ is finite. A direct calculation now shows that the second statement follows from equation (1). $\square$

The next result will be very important below. Its proof is a direct calculation.

Lemma 3.5. *The R-valued bilinear form on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ given by $([M],[N])_{\mathcal{M}} = \frac{\delta_{M,N}}{a_S(M)}$ is non-degenerate and satisfies*

$$(x \otimes \xi, \rho(\zeta))_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{M}} = (x \star \xi, \zeta)_{\mathcal{M}}, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}, \ \xi, \zeta \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$$

where $(x \otimes \xi, x' \otimes \xi')_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{M}} = (x,x')_{\mathcal{H}} (\xi, \xi')_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Example. Let X smooth projective scheme defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let $Vect_X$ be the exact category of vector bundles on X. Fix a line bundle $\mathcal{L} \to X$ and define $S : Vect_X \to Vect_X$ by $S(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{V}^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}$ with $\mathcal{V}^{\vee} = Hom_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Let $\Theta_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{V}^{\vee\vee}$ be the standard evaluation isomorphism. Then $(Vect_X, S, \pm\Theta)$ is a finitary exact category with duality to which we associate a module $\mathcal{M}_{Vect_X}$ over $\mathcal{H}_{Vect_X}$. When X is a curve, $\mathcal{H}_{Vect_X}$ is identified with the space of automorphic forms for all groups GL_n over the function field $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$ with (co)multiplication given by parabolic pseudo-Eisenstein induction (restriction) [11]. Following this interpretation, $\mathcal{M}_{Vect_X}$ is identified with the space of ($\mathcal{L}$-twisted) automorphic forms for symplectic or orthogonal groups. The algebra embedding $\mathcal{H}_{Vect_X} \subset \mathcal{H}_{Coh_X}$ leads to a Hall algebraic interpretation of Hecke operators on $\mathcal{H}_{Vect_X}$ [11]. As the duality S does not extend to Coh_X, it does not appear that Hecke operators on $\mathcal{M}_{Vect_X}$ can be seen from this viewpoint. $\triangleleft$

We continue to denote by $\mathcal{A}$ an exact category with duality.

Definition ([16], [2]). *(1) The Witt group $W(\mathcal{A})$ is the abelian monoid $Iso_S(\mathcal{A})$ modulo the submonoid of metabolic objects.*
(2) The Grothendieck-Witt group $GW(\mathcal{A})$ is the Grothendieck group of $Iso_S(\mathcal{A})$ modulo the relation $|N| \sim |H(U)|$ if $U \subset N$ is Lagrangian.

Example. The classical Witt group arises from the previous example with $X = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and the choice of positive sign. It is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ if -1 is a quadratic residue in $\mathbb{F}_q$, and $\mathbb{Z}_4$

otherwise. Denote by $x_1^+, x_1^- \in \mathcal{W}$ the classes of the one dimensional orthogonal spaces with determinant one and a non-square and by x_2^+, x_2^- the classes of the split and non-split two dimensional orthogonal spaces.

◁

The assignment $U \mapsto H(U)$ extends to a group morphism $K(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{H} GW(\mathcal{A})$, which makes $GW(\mathcal{A})$ a representation of $K(\mathcal{A})$. In $GW(\mathcal{A})$, the identity $|N| = |N//U| + |H(U)|$ holds. It follows that the natural decomposition of R-modules

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in GW(\mathcal{A})} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(\gamma),$$

with $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(\gamma)$ spanned by elements of class $\gamma \in GW(\mathcal{A})$, makes $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ into a $GW(\mathcal{A})$-graded (co)module via H. That is, for all $\alpha \in K(\mathcal{A})$ and $\gamma, \delta \in GW(\mathcal{A})$,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha) \star \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(\gamma) \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(H(\alpha) + \gamma), \qquad \rho(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(\delta)) \subset \bigoplus_{H(\alpha)+\gamma=\delta} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}(\gamma).$$

Proposition 3.6. *$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a direct sum of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$-(co)modules indexed by $W(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. This follows at once from the exact sequence of abelian groups [15]

$$K(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{H} GW(\mathcal{A}) \to W(\mathcal{A}) \to 0.$$

□

In particular, if $W(\mathcal{A})$ is non-trivial then $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is reducible.

Fix a form c twisting the Hall algebra multiplication and let $\tilde{c} : GW(\mathcal{A}) \times K(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a function satisfying, for all $\alpha, \beta \in K(\mathcal{A})$ and $\gamma \in GW(\mathcal{A})$,

$$c(\beta, \alpha) + \tilde{c}(\gamma, \alpha + \beta) = \tilde{c}(\gamma, \beta) + \tilde{c}(\gamma + H(\beta), \alpha). \tag{2}$$

This relation guarantees that the twisted action

$$[U] \star [M] = v^{\tilde{c}(M,U)} \sum_{N \in Iso_S(\mathcal{A})} G_{U,M}^N [N].$$

defines a module for the c-twisted Hall algebra. A similar formula defines a twisted comodule.

We will use single type of twist of the Hall module in this paper. To describe it, suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is k-linear and for each $p = \pm 1$ define

$$Ext^i(S(U), U)^{pS} = \left\{ \xi \in Ext^i(S(U), U) \mid S(\xi) = p\Theta_{U*}^{-1} \xi \right\}.$$

Proposition 3.7. *Let k be field with characteristic different from two and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a k-linear abelian category with duality of finite homological dimension. The function $\mathcal{E} : Iso(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{Z}$ given by*

$$\mathcal{E}(U) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \dim_k Ext^i(S(U), U)^{(-1)^{i+1} S}$$

descends to a function on $K(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. Given a short exact sequence $U \rightarrowtail W \twoheadrightarrow V$ there is a corresponding dual exact sequence $S(V) \rightarrowtail S(W) \twoheadrightarrow S(U)$. Applying Hom functors to these sequences gives six long exact sequences fitting into the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Hom(S(U),U) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Hom(S(U),W) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "-"'] & Hom(S(U),V) \arrow[d] \arrow[dddll, rounded corners, "-"] \\
Hom(S(W),U) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Hom(S(W),W) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "-"'] & Hom(S(W),V) \arrow[d] \arrow[dddll, rounded corners] \\
Hom(S(V),U) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Hom(S(V),W) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "-"'] & Hom(S(V),V) \arrow[d] \arrow[dddll, rounded corners, "-"] \\
Ext^1(S(U),U) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Ext^1(S(U),W) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "-"'] & Ext^1(S(U),V) \arrow[d] \arrow[r, no head] & {} \\
Ext^1(S(W),U) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Ext^1(S(W),W) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "-"'] & Ext^1(S(W),V) \arrow[d] \arrow[r, no head, "-"] & {} \\
Ext^1(S(V),U) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Ext^1(S(V),W) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Ext^1(S(V),V) \arrow[d] \arrow[r, no head] & {} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

The minus signs, indicating that negatives of the canonical maps are taken, are included to ensure that each square anti-commutes. We can therefore think of the diagram as a bicomplex of width three. The functor S induces an action of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on the total complex, commuting with all differentials, as follows. The generator of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts by $-S$ on all pairs $Ext^i(S(A),B) \oplus Ext^i(S(B),A)$ with $A \neq B$, by $(-1)^{i+1}S$ on $Ext^i(S(U),U)$ and $Ext^i(S(V),V)$ and by $(-1)^i S$ on $Ext^i(S(W),W)$. The character of the total complex, viewed as a virtual $\mathbb{Z}_2$-module, is zero. This implies the following relation between virtual dimensions of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-invariants

$$0 = \mathcal{E}(U) - \langle S(U),W\rangle + \langle S(V),U\rangle + (\langle S(W),W\rangle - \mathcal{E}(W)) - \langle S(V),W\rangle + \mathcal{E}(V).$$

For example, the term in parentheses is equal to

$$\sum_{i\geq 0}(-1)^i \dim_k Ext^i(S(W),W)^{(-1)^i S}$$

and gives the virtual dimension of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-invariants of $\bigoplus_{i\geq 0}(-1)^i Ext^i(S(W),W)$. We can rewrite the previous equality as

$$\mathcal{E}(W) = \mathcal{E}(U) + \mathcal{E}(V) + \langle S(V),U\rangle.$$

The right hand side coincides with $\mathcal{E}(U \oplus V)$, proving the proposition. $\square$

Remark 3.1. Proposition 3.7 and its proof remain valid for extension closed full subcategories of abelian categories.

If c is the Euler form and $\tilde{c}(M,U) = c(M,U) + \mathcal{E}(U)$, it is directly verified that equation (2) holds. With these choices, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ will be called the Ringel-Hall module.

4. Hall modules from quivers

In this section we describe an abelian category with duality associated to a quiver with involution.

Let k be an arbitrary field. A quiver consists of a finite set Q_0 of nodes and a finite set of arrows Q_1, whose elements are denoted by $i \xrightarrow{\alpha} j$. A k-representation of Q is pair (V,x) consisting of a Q_0-graded vector space $V = \bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} V_i$ and a linear map $V_i \xrightarrow{x_\alpha} V_j$ for each $i \xrightarrow{\alpha} j$. The dimension vector of V is $\mathbf{dim}\, V = (\dim V_i)_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{Q_0}$. The simple representation S_i attached to the node i has dimension vector ϵ_i. The hereditary abelian category of finite dimensional representations of Q is denoted by $\mathrm{Rep}_k(Q)$. It is finitary if $k = \mathbb{F}_q$. For further discussion of the representation theory of quivers the reader is referred to [1].

Let $\mathcal{H}_Q$ be the Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(Q)$. Suppose that Q has no loops. The composition subalgebra is the subalgebra $\mathbf{C}_Q \subset \mathcal{H}_Q$ generated by the simple representations $[S_i]$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_Q$ be the symmetric Kac-Moody algebra associated to Q; its Cartan matrix is the symmetrized Euler form of Q.

Theorem 4.1 ([20], [8]). *Let Q be a quiver without loops. The composition subalgebra $\mathbf{C}_Q$ is isomorphic to $U^+_{\sqrt{q}}(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$, the positive part of the quantum group associated to $\mathfrak{g}_Q$, specialized at $\sqrt{q}$.*

From now on we assume that the characteristic of k is not two.

Definition. *An involution of Q is a pair of involutions $Q_i \xrightarrow{\sigma} Q_i$, $i = 0, 1$, such that for all $\alpha \in Q_1$, $h(\sigma(\alpha)) = \sigma(t(\alpha))$ and if $\sigma(t(\alpha)) = h(\alpha)$ then $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha$.*

Fix a σ-invariant function $\tau : Q_1 \to \{\pm 1\}$ and a sign $s \in \{\pm 1\}$. Define a functor $S : \mathrm{Rep}_k(Q) \to \mathrm{Rep}_k(Q)$ by setting $S(M, x) = (N, y)$, where

$$N_i = M^{\vee}_{\sigma(i)}, \quad y_\alpha = \tau_\alpha x^{\vee}_{\sigma(\alpha)}.$$

If $(M, x) \xrightarrow{f} (M', x')$ is a morphism, then $S(M') \xrightarrow{S(f)} S(M)$ has components $S(f)_i = f^{\vee}_{\sigma(i)}$. Exactness of S follows from its exactness at the level of Q_0-graded k-vector spaces.

Define $\Theta : 1_{\mathrm{Rep}(Q)} \to S^2$ by $\Theta_M = s \cdot \mathrm{ev}_M$, where $\mathrm{ev}_M : M \to M^{\vee\vee}$ is the canonical evaluation isomorphism. Then (S, Θ) gives $\mathrm{Rep}_k(Q)$ the structure of an abelian category with duality.

Self-dual representations have the following explicit description. A self-dual structure ψ on M defines a non-degenerate s-symmetric bilinear form on M by $\langle v, w \rangle = \psi(v)(w)$ for which M_i and M_j are orthogonal unless $i = \sigma(j)$. The isomorphism $M \xrightarrow{\psi} S(M)$ requires that the structure maps x_α of M satisfy

$$\langle x_\alpha v, w \rangle - \tau_\alpha \langle v, x_{\sigma(\alpha)} w \rangle = 0.$$

In particular, for $\tau = -1$ the self-dual objects of $\mathrm{Rep}_k(Q)$ are the orthogonal ($s = 1$) and symplectic ($s = -1$) representations introduced in [4], and will henceforth be referred to as such. This is the most important case for this paper.

Example. When $Q = \bullet$, a self-dual representation is either an orthogonal or symplectic vector space. ◁

Example. Let $Q = \bullet \rightarrow \bullet$ with the involution that swaps the nodes. A symplectic representation consists of a vector space V and a symmetric linear map $V \xrightarrow{x} V^{\vee}$. The symplectic form on $V \oplus V^{\vee}$ is induced by the canonical pairing $V \times V^{\vee} \to k$. Isometry classes of symplectic representations are parameterized by $Sym^2 V/GL(V)$. For orthogonal representations, the map x is required instead to be skew-symmetric. ◁

Example. Let $Q =$ be the Jordan quiver. A symplectic representation consists of a symplectic vector space N and $x \in \mathfrak{sp}(N)$. The moduli space of $2n$-dimensional symplectic representations is the space of adjoint orbits $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}/Sp_{2n}$. If instead $\tau = 1$, $x \in End_k(N)$ must be self-adjoint. ◁

Example. For any quiver Q let Q^{op} be the quiver obtained from Q be reversing the orientation of all arrows. Then $Q^{\sqcup} = Q \sqcup Q^{op}$ has a canonical involution that sends a node or arrow of Q to the corresponding node or arrow of Q^{op}. The assignment $U \mapsto H(U)$ extends to an isomorphism of monoids $Iso(\text{Rep}(Q)) \xrightarrow{\sim} Iso_S(\text{Rep}(Q^{\sqcup}))$. In particular, $Iso_S(\text{Rep}(Q))$ is independent of (s, τ). ◁

Given representations (V, v) and (W, w), define

$$A^0(V,W) = \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} Hom_k(V_i, W_i), \quad A^1(V,W) = \bigoplus_{i \xrightarrow{\alpha} j} Hom_k(V_i, W_j).$$

There is a differential $A^0(V,W) \xrightarrow{\delta} A^1(V,W)$ given by $\delta\{a_i\} = \{w_\alpha a_i - a_j v_\alpha\}$. The complex is part of the Standard Resolution

$$0 \to Hom(V,W) \to A^0(V,W) \xrightarrow{\delta} A^1(V,W) \to Ext^1(V,W) \to 0.$$

An immediate consequence is that the Euler form depends only on the dimension vectors of its arguments, and is given explicitly by

$$\langle d, d' \rangle = \sum_{i \in Q_0} d_i d_i' - \sum_{i \xrightarrow{\alpha} j} d_i d_j', \qquad d, d' \in \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}.$$

Next, we establish a useful identity involving self-dual Hall numbers of quivers.

Proposition 4.2. *For all representations U and self-dual representations M,*

$$\sum_{N \in Iso_S(\mathcal{A})} \frac{\mathcal{G}^N_{U,M}}{a_S(N)} = q^{-\langle M,U \rangle - \mathcal{E}(U)}.$$

Proof. The complex $A^\bullet(S(U), U)$ has a natural involution ϖ, given by

$$\varpi\{f_i\} = \{s f^{\vee}_{\sigma(i)}\}, \quad \varpi\{e_\alpha\} = \{s \tau_\alpha e^{\vee}_{\sigma(\alpha)}\},$$

which anti-commutes with δ. The subcomplex $A^0(S(U),U)^{-\varpi} \xrightarrow{\delta} A^1(S(U),U)^{\varpi}$, denoted $B^\bullet(U)$, induces the following short exact sequence

$$0 \to Hom(S(U),U)^{-S} \to B^0(U) \xrightarrow{\delta} B^1(U) \to Ext^1(S(U),U)^S \to 0. \tag{3}$$

Let $C^\bullet(M,U) = A^\bullet(M,U) \oplus B^\bullet(U)$. Let $\underline{N}$ be the symplectic or orthogonal vector space underlying the split self-dual extension $U \oplus M \oplus S(U)$. The vector space underlying any other self-dual extension of M by U is isometric to $\underline{N}$. The degree zero term $C^0(M,U)$ is naturally interpreted as the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical $\mathcal{U}$ of the parabolic subgroup of $Isom(\underline{N})$ stabilizing the isotropic subspace $U \subset \underline{N}$. To each $c = \{f_\alpha, e_\alpha\} \in C^1(M,U)$ there corresponds a self-dual representation N_c with structure maps, in the natural basis of $\underline{N}$,

$$n_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} u_\alpha & f_\alpha & e_\alpha \\ 0 & m_\alpha & \psi_j^{-1} f^{\vee}_{\sigma(\alpha)} \\ 0 & 0 & \tau_\alpha u^{\vee}_{\sigma(\alpha)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The group $\mathcal{U}$ acts on $C^1(M,U)$ by conjugating the associated structure maps. Then $g \in \mathcal{U}$ stabilizes c if and only if $g \in Aut(N_c)$, in which case it is immediate that $g \in Aut_S(N_c)$ and that g preserves the canonical self-dual extension structure of N_c. This construction defines a set map

$$\bigsqcup_{N \in Iso_S(\mathcal{A})} \underline{\mathcal{G}}^N_{U,M}/Aut_S(N) \leftarrow C^1(M,U)/\mathcal{U}.$$

This map has an inverse constructed as follows. Given a self-dual exact sequence with middle term N, pick an isomorphism $N \simeq \underline{N}$ of self-dual extensions of vector spaces. Use this to write the structure maps of N in the natural basis of $\underline{N}$. The difference between the structure maps induced by N and those of $H(U) \oplus M$ is an element of $C^1(M, U)$, and defines the inverse map. Moreover, under this bijection the stabilizer of a self-dual extension under isometries of its middle term has the same cardinality as the stabilizer of the corresponding element in $C^1(M, U)$ under $\mathcal{U}$. Burnside's Lemma then gives

$$\sum_{N \in Isos_S(\mathcal{A})} \frac{\mathcal{G}^N_{U,M}}{a_S(N)} = \frac{|C^1(M,U)|}{|\mathcal{U}|}$$

As $|\mathcal{U}| = |C^0(M,U)|$, this sum is equal to $q^{-\chi(C^\bullet(M,U))}$ and the proposition now follows from the Standard Resolution and the sequence (3). ☐

Write $Q_0 = Q_0^\sigma \sqcup Q_0^+ \sqcup Q_0^-$, where Q_0^σ consists of nodes fixed by σ and Q_0^+ consists of a single node from each σ-orbit consisting of two points. The decomposition of Q_1 is defined similarly. We can now explicitly compute the modification $\mathcal{E}$ for quivers.

Corollary 4.3. *When $\mathcal{A} = Rep_k(Q)$, $\mathcal{E}(U)$ depends only on $u = \mathbf{dim}\, U$ and is given by*

$$\mathcal{E}(U) = \sum_{i \in Q_0^\sigma} \frac{u_i(u_i - s)}{2} - \sum_{(\sigma(i) \xrightarrow{\alpha} i) \in Q_1^\sigma} \frac{u_i(u_i + \tau_\alpha s)}{2} + \sum_{i \in Q_0^+} u_{\sigma(i)} u_i - \sum_{(i \xrightarrow{\alpha} j) \in Q_1^+} u_{\sigma(i)} u_j .$$

Proof. This follows at once from the exact sequence (3). ☐

5. Hall modules and quantum groups

In this section Q is a quiver without loops. We work with Ringel-Hall algebras and modules. Theorems 3.4 and 4.1 have the following immediate consequence.

Proposition 5.1. *The Ringel-Hall module $\mathcal{M}_Q$ is a representation of $U_v^+(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$.*

The naïve analogue of Green's Theorem fails for Hall modules. Namely, $\mathcal{M}_Q$ is not a Hopf module, even in a braided sense, as can already be seen for A_1. In this section, we describe the relationship between multiplication and comultiplication along simple representations. This can be viewed as a partial replacement of Green's Theorem.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a symmetric Kac-Moody algebra with simple roots ϵ_i, $i \in I$, root lattice $\Phi = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_i$ and Cartan form $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Fix an involution σ of I leaving $(\cdot, \cdot)$ invariant. Let $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ be the field of rational functions in an indeterminate v.

Definition ([6]). *The reduced σ-analogue $B_\sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ is the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-algebra generated by variables T_i, T_i^-, E_i, F_i, $i \in I$, subject to the relations*

$$T_i T_j = T_j T_i, \quad T_i T_i^{-1} = 1, \quad T_i = T_{\sigma(i)} \tag{4}$$

$$T_i E_j = v^{-(\epsilon_j + \epsilon_{\sigma(j)}, \epsilon_i)} E_j T_i, \qquad T_i F_j = v^{(\epsilon_j + \epsilon_{\sigma(j)}, \epsilon_i)} F_j T_i \tag{5}$$

$$E_i F_j = v^{(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j)} F_j E_i + \delta_{i,j} + \delta_{i,\sigma(j)} T_i \tag{6}$$

$$\sum_{l=0}^{1-(\epsilon_i,\epsilon_j)} (-1)^l \begin{bmatrix} 1 - (\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j) \\ l \end{bmatrix}_v F_i^l F_j F_i^{1-(\epsilon_i,\epsilon_j)-l} = 0, \quad i \neq j$$

$$\sum_{l=0}^{1-(\epsilon_i,\epsilon_j)} (-1)^l \begin{bmatrix} 1-(\epsilon_i,\epsilon_j) \\ l \end{bmatrix}_v E_i^l E_j E_i^{1-(\epsilon_i,\epsilon_j)-l} = 0, \quad i \neq j \tag{7}$$

where

$$[n]_v = \frac{v^n - v^{-n}}{v - v^{-1}}, \quad [n]_v! = \prod_{i=1}^{n} [i]_v, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_v = \frac{[n]_v!}{[k]_v![n-k]_v!}.$$

For generic $t \in \mathbb{C}$, the specialization $B_\sigma(\mathfrak{g})_t$ is defined as the $\mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]$-algebra with the same generators and relations as $B_\sigma(\mathfrak{g})$, but with v replaced by t.

For $i \in Q_0$ define $E_i, F_i, T_i \in End_R(\mathcal{M}_Q)$ as follows. The induction operator F_i is given by

$$F_i[M] = [S_i] \star [M]$$

while the restriction operator E_i projects the comodule map to the subspace $[S_i] \otimes \mathcal{M}_Q$. That is,

$$\rho([M]) = [S_i] \otimes E_i[M] + (\rho[M])'$$

where $(\rho[M])'$ is a linear combination of terms of the form $[U] \otimes [N] \in \mathcal{H}_Q \otimes \mathcal{M}_Q$ with $U \not\simeq S_i$. The action of T_i is given by

$$T_i[M] = \nu^{(M,\epsilon_i)+\mathcal{E}(\epsilon_i)+\mathcal{E}(\epsilon_{\sigma(i)})}[M].$$

Lemma 5.2. *The operators T_i, E_i and F_i satisfy relations (4), (5) and (7) with $v = \nu$.*

Proof. Relations (4) are easily checked. Relation (5) follows from the fact that F_i (resp. E_i) increases (resp. decreases) the dimension vector by $\epsilon_i + \epsilon_{\sigma(i)}$. The Serre relation (7) for the operators F_i follows from Theorems 3.4 and 4.1. From Lemma 3.5

$$(F_i\xi, \zeta)_{\mathcal{M}} = \frac{1}{\nu^2 - 1}(\xi, E_i\zeta)_{\mathcal{M}}, \quad \xi, \zeta \in \mathcal{M}_Q.$$

Since $(\cdot,\cdot)_{\mathcal{M}}$ is non-degenerate the Serre relations for E_i follow from those for F_i. □

We now state the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.3. *The induction and restriction operators make $\mathcal{M}_Q$ a $B_\sigma(\mathfrak{g}_Q)_\nu$-module.*

In view of Lemma 5.2 it remains to show that relation (6) holds, which is equivalent to the following identity; all summations are over $Iso_S(\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(Q))$.

Theorem 5.3′. *For all $i, j \in Q_0$ and self-dual representations N, Y, the following identity holds:*

$$\sum_X \frac{\mathcal{G}^X_{S_i,N}\mathcal{G}^X_{S_j,Y}}{a_S(X)} = \frac{|Ext^1(S_{\sigma(j)}, S_i)|}{|Hom(S_{\sigma(j)}, S_i)|} \sum_Z \frac{\mathcal{G}^Y_{S_i,Z}\mathcal{G}^N_{S_j,Z}}{a_S(Z)} + \delta_{i,\sigma(j)}\delta_{N,Y} a(S_i) a_S(N)$$
$$+ \delta_{i,j}\delta_{N,Y} a(S_i) a_S(N) \frac{|Ext^1(N, S_i)||Ext^1(S_{\sigma(i)}, S_i)^S|}{|Hom(N, S_i)||Hom(S_{\sigma(i)}, S_i)^{-S}|} \tag{8}$$

Given self-dual representations X, Y, N, let $C_X(i,j;N,Y)$ be the set of crosses

$$\begin{tikzcd} & S_j \arrow[d, tail, "b"'] & \\ S_i \arrow[r, tail, "a"] & X \arrow[r, dashed, two heads, "\pi"] \arrow[d, dashed, two heads, "\rho"'] & N \\ & Y & \end{tikzcd} \tag{9}$$

The group $Aut_S(X)$ acts on $C_X(i,j;N,Y)$ with orbit space $\tilde{C}_X(i,j;N,Y)$. Burnside's Lemma gives for the sum on the left-hand side of equation (8)

$$\sum_X \frac{\mathcal{G}^X_{S_i,N}\mathcal{G}^X_{S_j,Y}}{a_S(X)} = \sum_X \frac{|C_X(i,j;N,Y)|}{a_S(X)} = \sum_X \sum_{\mathcal{C}\in\tilde{C}_X(i,j;N,Y)} \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Stab}_{Aut_S(X)}\mathcal{C}|}.$$

Similarly, for a self-dual representation Z let $D_Z(i,j;N,Y)$ denote the set of all corners

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & S_j \arrow[d, tail, "\tilde{b}"] \\
 & N \arrow[d, two heads, dashed, "\tilde{\rho}"] \\
S_i \arrow[r, tail, "\tilde{a}"'] & Y \arrow[r, two heads, dashed, "\tilde{\pi}"'] & Z
\end{tikzcd} \tag{10}$$

The group $Aut_S(Z)$ acts freely on $D_Z(i,j;N,Y)$ with orbit space $\tilde{D}_Z(i,j;N,Y)$, so that the sum on the right-hand side of equation (8) is

$$\sum_Z \frac{\mathcal{G}^Y_{S_i,Z}\mathcal{G}^N_{S_j,Z}}{a_S(Z)} = \sum_Z \frac{|D_Z(i,j;N,Y)|}{a_S(Z)} = \sum_Z |\tilde{D}_Z(i,j;N,Y)|.$$

If the morphisms a and b in the cross (9) determine a two dimensional isotropic subrepresentation of X, we construct a corner on $Z = X//(S_i \oplus S_j)$ as follows. The map $S_j \overset{\tilde{b}}{\rightarrowtail} N$ is induced by the map $E_i \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} N$ with $E_i = Im(a)^{\perp}$, the map $S_i \overset{\tilde{a}}{\rightarrowtail} Y$ being defined similarly. Picking an orthogonal $E' \subset X$ for $S_i \oplus S_j$, define $\tilde{\rho}$ and $\tilde{\pi}$ by the pushout diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & S_i \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, tail, "b'"'] & S_j \arrow[d, tail, "\tilde{b}"] \\
S_i \arrow[r, tail, "a'"] \arrow[d, equal] & E' \arrow[r, two heads, "\pi'"] \arrow[d, two heads, "\rho'"'] & \tilde{E}_j \arrow[d, two heads, "\tilde{\rho}"] \\
S_i \arrow[r, tail, "\tilde{a}"'] & \tilde{E}_i \arrow[r, two heads, "\tilde{\pi}"'] & Z
\end{tikzcd} \tag{11}$$

where π' and ρ' are the canonical maps induced by $E_i \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} N$ and $E_j \overset{\rho}{\twoheadrightarrow} Y$, respectively.

Lemma 5.4. *If the cross (9) does not descend to a corner, then $N \simeq_S Y$.*

Proof. A cross fails to descend if and only if $a \oplus b$ does not determine a two dimensional isotropic subrepresentation of X, which can happen in precisely two ways. The first is if $\mathrm{Im}(a) = \mathrm{Im}(b)$, in which case clearly $N \simeq_S Y$. The second is if $\mathrm{Im}(a \oplus b)$ is a non-degenerate subrepresentation, necessarily isometric to $H(S_i)$. In this case $X \simeq_S H(S_i) \oplus N \simeq_S H(S_i) \oplus Y$. Again, $N \simeq_S Y$. □

To prove Theorem 5.3′, we will interpret the right-hand side of equation (8) in terms of crosses. Namely, the sum counts classes of crosses that descend, with appropriate multiplicity, while the two remaining terms count crosses that fail to descend for the two reasons given in Lemma 5.4.

Lemma 5.5. *There are $a(S_j)a_S(N)$ crosses in $\tilde{C}_X(i,j;N,Y)$ in which the images of a and b are not orthogonal.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} \in C_X(i,j;N,N)$ with $\mathrm{Im}(a \oplus b)$ non-degenerate, so that $X \simeq_S H(S_i) \oplus N$. Acting by $Aut_S(H(S_i)) \subset Aut_S(X)$ we may take a to be the standard inclusion and b a scalar multiple of the standard inclusion, leaving $Aut(S_j)$ worth of choices for b. Acting by $Aut_S(N) \subset Aut_S(X)$ we may take π to be the projection onto N, leaving $Aut_S(N)$ choices for ρ. $\square$

Proposition 5.6. *Let $\mathcal{C} \in C_X(i,j;X,N)$.*

(1) If $\mathcal{C}$ descends to a corner, then $Stab_{Aut_S(X)}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq Hom(S_{\sigma(i)}, S_j)$.
(2) If the images of a and b are not orthogonal, then $Stab_{Aut_S(X)}(\mathcal{C}) = \{1\}$.

Proof. We make two preliminary observations. Suppose $\phi \in Aut_S(X)$ fixes $(E_i; a, l, k, \pi) \in \underline{\mathcal{G}}^X_{S_i,N}$. Then there exists $r \in Aut(E_i)$ such that

$$rl = l, \quad \pi r^{-1} = \pi, \quad kr^{-1} = \phi k.$$

The first two equations state that r stabilizes $S_i \overset{l}{\rightarrowtail} E_i \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} N$ and hence is uniquely determined by an element of $Hom(N, S_i)$. The third equation states that E_i is ϕ-stable and $\phi_{|E_i} = r$, so that $\phi_{|E_i}$ is also determined by $Hom(N, S_i)$.

If moreover $\phi_{|E_i} = 1_{E_i}$, then ϕ also stabilizes $E_i \overset{k}{\rightarrowtail} N \overset{S(a)\psi}{\twoheadrightarrow} S(S_i)$ and ϕ is uniquely determined by an element of $Hom(S(S_i), S_i)^{-S}$

We prove the first statement; the second is similar. Let $\phi \in Stab_{Aut_S(X)}(\mathcal{C})$ and suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ descends to a corner. The restrictions of ϕ to E_i and E_j are determined uniquely by elements of $Hom(N, S_i)$ and $Hom(Y, S_j)$, respectively. As $\mathrm{Im}(a) \cap \mathrm{Im}(b) = 0$, the restriction of ϕ to $E_i \cap E_j$ is the identity and ϕ is determined uniquely by an element of $Hom(S(S_i \oplus S_j), S_i \oplus S_j)^{-S}$. Compatibility with the restrictions to E_i and E_j requires that the summands $Hom(S(S_i), S_i)$ and $Hom(S(S_j), S_j)$ do not contribute to ϕ, leaving only a factor determined by $Hom(S_{\sigma(i)}, S_j)$. Conversely, any such element gives rise to an isometry of X stabilizing $\mathcal{C}$. $\square$

We need a final result before proving Theorem 5.3′.

Proposition 5.7. *There are $|Ext^1(S_{\sigma(i)}, S_j)|$ elements of $\bigsqcup_X \tilde{C}_X(i,j;N,Y)$ that descend to each $\mathcal{D} \in \bigsqcup_Z \tilde{D}_Z(i,j;N,Y)$.*

Proof. The first part of the proof is as in [8]. To avoid clutter write $U = S_i$ and $V = S_j$. Start with the corner (10). Let E' be the pullback of $\tilde{\pi}$ and $\tilde{\rho}$ in diagram (11) and let $\xi \in Ext^1(N, U)$ map to $(a', \pi') \in Ext^1(\tilde{E}_V, U)$ in the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to Hom(S(V), U) \to Hom(N, U) \to Hom(\tilde{E}_V, U) \to \\ Ext^1(S(V), U) \to Ext^1(N, U) \to Ext^1(\tilde{E}_V, U) \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

The set of morphisms τ making the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U \arrow[r, tail, "a'"] \arrow[d, equal] & E' \arrow[r, two heads, "\pi'"] \arrow[d, tail, "\tau"] & \tilde{E}_V \arrow[d, tail, "\tilde{k}"] \\
U \arrow[r, tail] & E_U \arrow[r, two heads, "\pi"] \arrow[d, two heads] & N \arrow[d, two heads, "S(\tilde{b})\psi_N"] \\
 & S(V) \arrow[r, equal] & S(V)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute is a torsor for $Hom(\tilde{E}_V, U)$. The middle row is a representative of ξ. The map $U \rightarrowtail E_U$ is determined by commutativity. The group $Aut(E_U)$ acts transitively on the set of choices for π, with stabilizer $Hom(N, U)$. From the long exact sequence, we find that there are

$$a(E_U)\frac{|Ext^1_{E_U}(S(V), U)|}{|Hom(S(V), U)|}$$

diagrams as above with central term E_U. Because of the equivalence in the definition of self-dual exact sequences, we are only interested in such diagrams up to the action of $Aut(E_U)$. Each diagram has stabilizer $Hom(S(V), U)$ under $Aut(E_U)$. Summing over isomorphism types of E_U, we find that there are $|Ext^1(S(V), U)|$ equivalence classes of diagrams, keeping the outside maps fixed.

Fix a diagram as above. We show by constraining the possible structure maps that there is a unique way of extending this diagram to a cross inducing the original corner. Let $\epsilon_U \in A^1(N, U)$ determine E_U and let X, the central term in the cross, be determined by

$$(x_1, x_2) \in A^1(S(U), E_U) = A^1(S(U), N) \oplus A^1(S(U), U).$$

If the canonical bilinear form is to make X self-dual, then x_1 must be the transpose of ϵ_U. Moreover, the element x_2 is uniquely determined by the requirement that the canonical map $V^{\perp} \to Y$ be an isometry. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 5.3′. Write

$$C_X(i,j;N,Y) = C_X^{(1)}(i,j;N,Y) \bigsqcup C_X^{(2)}(i,j;N,Y)$$

where $C_X^{(1)}(i,j;N,Y)$ is the set of crosses that descend to corners. By Proposition 5.6

$$\sum_X \frac{|C_X(i,j;N,Y)|}{a_S(X)} = \sum_X \frac{|\tilde{C}_X^{(1)}(i,j;N,Y)|}{|Hom(S_{\sigma(i)}, S_j)|} + \sum_X \frac{|C_X^{(2)}(i,j;N,Y)|}{a_S(X)}.$$

Proposition 5.7 shows

$$\sum_X \frac{|\tilde{C}_X^{(1)}(i,j;N,Y)|}{|Hom(S_{\sigma(i)}, S_j)|} = \frac{|Ext^1(S_{\sigma(j)}, S_i)|}{|Hom(S_{\sigma(j)}, S_i)|} \sum_Z |\tilde{D}_Z(i,j;N,Y)|.$$

The number of crosses in $C_X(i,j;N,Y)$ that do not descend to corners because the images of a and b coincide is equal to $\sum_X a(S_i)a_S(N)\mathcal{G}^X_{S_i,N}$. Proposition 4.2 together with Lemma 5.5 and Proposition 5.6 then give

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_X \frac{|C_X^{(2)}(i,j;N,Y)|}{a_S(X)} &= \delta_{N,Y}\delta_{i,j}a(S_i)a_S(N)\frac{|Ext^1(N,S_i)|}{|Hom(N,S_i)|}\frac{|Ext^1(S_{\sigma(i)},S_i)^S|}{|Hom(S_{\sigma(i)},S_i)^{-S}|}\\ &\quad + \delta_{N,Y}\delta_{i,\sigma(j)}a(S_i)a_S(N)\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

For each integral weight $\lambda \in Hom(\Phi, \mathbb{Z})$ invariant under σ, there is a weight module of $B_\sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ characterized as follows.

Proposition 5.8 ([6, Proposition 2.11])**.** *There exists a $B_\sigma(\mathfrak{g})$-module $V_\sigma(\lambda)$ generated by a non-zero vector ϕ_λ such that $T_i\phi_\lambda = v^{\lambda(\epsilon_i)}\phi_\lambda$, $E_i\phi_\lambda = 0$ for all $i \in I$ and*

$$\{x \in V_\sigma(\lambda) \mid E_i x = 0, \quad \forall i \in I\} = \mathbb{Q}(v)\phi_\lambda.$$

Moreover, $V_\sigma(\lambda)$ is irreducible and unique up to isomorphism.

The assumption of [6] that λ be dominant is not used in Proposition 5.8. There is also a version of Proposition 5.8 for the specializations $B_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{g})_t$. The corresponding modules will be denoted by $V_{\sigma}(\lambda)_t$.

A σ-invariant dimension vector $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}$ defines a σ-invariant weight $\lambda \in Hom(\Phi, \mathbb{Z})$ by defining

$$\lambda(\epsilon_i) = (d, \epsilon_i) + \mathcal{E}(\epsilon_i) + \mathcal{E}(\epsilon_{\sigma(i)})$$

and extending linearly. This is precisely the weight with which T_i acts on a representation of dimension vector d. The weight λ is independent of the orientation of Q.

Define the composition submodule $\mathbf{M}_Q$ to be the $B_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{g}_Q)_{\nu}$-submodule of $\mathcal{M}_Q$ generated by [0], the class of the trivial self-dual representation. Let λ_{triv} be the σ-weight associated to [0].

Proposition 5.9. *The composition submodule* $\mathbf{M}_Q$ *is isomorphic to* $V_{\sigma}(\lambda_{triv})_{\nu}$.

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbf{M}_Q$ such that $E_i x = 0$ for all $i \in Q_0$. Assume that x is not a scalar multiple of [0]. Then $x = \sum_{i \in Q_0} F_i y_i$ for some $y_i \in \mathbf{M}_Q$. We have

$$(x, x)_{\mathcal{M}} = \sum_{i \in Q_0} (x, F_i y_i)_{\mathcal{M}} = \frac{1}{\nu^2 - 1} \sum_{i \in Q_0} (E_i x, y_i)_{\mathcal{M}} = 0.$$

However, $(x, x)_{\mathcal{M}} \neq 0$ unless $x = 0$, a contradiction. Hence x is a scalar multiple of [0], which has weight λ_{triv}. From Proposition 5.8 we conclude $\mathbf{M}_Q \simeq V_{\sigma}(\lambda_{triv})_{\nu}$. □

In [5, Theorem 5.12], by studying induction and restriction operators on the Grothendieck group of a certain category of perverse sheaves on the moduli stack of orthogonal representations of Q, Enomoto established an isomorphism of $B_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$-modules ${}^{\sigma}K(Q) \simeq V_{\sigma}(0)$. This can be viewed as a geometric version of Theorem 5.3 and Proposition 5.9 for orthogonal representations. Enomoto also shows [5] that the simple perverse sheaves in ${}^{\sigma}K(Q)$ give a lower global basis of $V_{\sigma}(0)$; see also [14] for the case $Q = A_{\infty}$.

6. Self-dual representation theory of finite type quivers

The isometry classes of self-dual representations of finite type quiver, over an algebraically closed field of characteristic not two, were classified in [4]. We extend this result to finite fields for use in Sections 7 and 8.

Definition. *If over any algebraically closed field of characteristic not two,* (Q, σ) *has only finitely many isometry classes of indecomposable self-dual representations, then it is called finite type.*

For the purposes of studying Hall modules in the finite type case, it suffices to restrict attention to orthogonal and symplectic representations. Indeed, Hall modules arising from other choices of τ are isomorphic to orthogonal or symplectic Hall modules. For more general quivers, this is no longer so.

Finite type quivers with involution were classified in [4, Theorem 3.1]. Suppose that (Q, σ) is not a disjoint union of quivers with involution; otherwise apply the arguments to each irreducible component. Then either $(Q, \sigma) = Q'^{\sqcup}$ with Q' finite type and connected, or Q is connected. In the latter case, Q is of Dynkin type A with σ the unique non-trivial Dynkin diagram automorphism.

As described above, the self-dual representation theory of $Q^{\sqcup}$ is completely determined by the representation theory of Q. Hence, we focus on type A quivers. When the underlying graph of Q

is A_{2n} or A_{2n+1}, label the nodes by $-n, \dots n$, omitting 0 for A_{2n}, with i and $i+1$ adjacent. The involution is given by $\sigma(i) = -i$. For $i \leq j$, denote by $I_{i,j}$ the representation with dimension vector $\epsilon_i + \cdots + \epsilon_j$ and with all intermediate structure maps the identity. Over any field, the collection $\{I_{i,j}\}_{-n \leq i \leq j \leq n}$ is a complete set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable representations of Q.

It will be convenient is what follows to refer to a symplectic representation of (Q, σ) simply as a representation of $Q^{\mathfrak{sp}}$, and so on.

Let k be algebraically closed with $\operatorname{char} k \neq 2$. The indecomposable self-dual k-representations of Q are classified [4] as follows. If Q is $A_{2n}^{\mathfrak{o}}$ or $A_{2n+1}^{\mathfrak{sp}}$ then all indecomposable self-dual representations are of the form $H(I_{i,j})$. If Q is $A_{2n}^{\mathfrak{sp}}$ or $A_{2n+1}^{\mathfrak{o}}$, $H(I_{-i,i})$ is replaced with $I_{-i,i}$, which now admits a unique self-dual structure and is indecomposable. We will denote this representation by R_i and call it a symmetric self-dual representation.

In contrast to the ordinary representation theory of finite type quivers, the classification of self-dual indecomposables must be refined over finite fields to account for non-trivial $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q$-forms. Let $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ be an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Lemma 6.1. *A self-dual indecomposable $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$-representation of A_n has a non-trivial $\mathbb{F}_q$-form if and only if the underlying representation is indecomposable, in which case there are precisely two $\mathbb{F}_q$-forms.*

Proof. Let N be a self-dual indecomposable $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$-representation with automorphism group $Aut_S(N)$. The first Galois cohomology set $H^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q, Aut_S(N))$ classifies the $\mathbb{F}_q$-forms of N; see [24].

If $N \simeq I \oplus S(I)$ for a non-symmetric indecomposable I, we may assume without loss of generality that $S(I) \prec I$ in the Auslander-Reiten order. Then $Hom(I, S(I)) = 0$ and

$$Aut_S(N) \simeq Aut(I) \rtimes Hom(S(I), I)^{-S}.$$

Since $Aut(I) \simeq GL_1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$, from [24, § 2.3] we conclude $H^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q, Aut_S(N)) = 0$ and hence there are no non-trivial $\mathbb{F}_q$-forms in this case.

There are two remaining cases. If $N \simeq I^{\oplus 2}$, then $Aut_S(N) \simeq Sp_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$, which has trivial Galois cohomology and we again find that there are no non-trivial $\mathbb{F}_q$-forms. If instead $N \simeq I$, then $Aut_S(N) \simeq O_1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$. As $H^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q, O_1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$, there is a unique non-trivial $\mathbb{F}_q$-form in this case. ☐

We will say that $Q^{\mathfrak{g}}$ has no forms as an abbreviation for the statement that the self-dual representations of $Q^{\mathfrak{g}}$ have no non-trivial $\mathbb{F}_q$-forms.

Lemma 6.2. *Indecomposable self-dual $\mathbb{F}_q$-representations of type A quivers are absolutely indecomposable.*

Proof. We prove the statement for orthogonal representations; the symplectic case is analogous. The representation underlying an indecomposable orthogonal representation is either indecomposable or of the form $I \oplus S(I)$ for some indecomposable I. This is proved in [4, Proposition 2.7]; the authors work over an algebraically closed field but this statement and its proof hold for finite fields as well.

Given this, let N be an indecomposable orthogonal $\mathbb{F}_q$-representation with $N \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ decomposable as an orthogonal representation. The underlying representation of N is then also decomposable, so that $N \simeq I \oplus S(I)$ for some indecomposable I. This implies that both $I \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ and $S(I) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ admit orthogonal structures. Since indecomposables of A_{2n} do not admit orthogonal structures, we are done in this case. When $Q = A_{2n+1}$ only $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$-indecomposables with $I \simeq S(I)$ admit an orthogonal structure, and this structure is unique. The isometry group of $N \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ is $O_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ and we have $H^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q, O_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$. But the two distinct $\mathbb{F}_q$-forms of $(I \otimes S(I)) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ are given by orthogonal direct sums of the $\mathbb{F}_q$-forms of $I \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ and $S(I) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ and so are both decomposable. This contradicts the supposed indecomposability of N and completes the proof. □

We can now extend [4, Proposition 3.6] to finite fields.

Proposition 6.3. *(1) The indecomposable $\mathbb{F}_q$-representations of $A^{\mathfrak{sp}}_{2n+1}$ are in bijection with the positive roots of the root system C_{n+1}.*
(2) The indecomposable $\mathbb{F}_q$-representations of $A^{\mathfrak{o}}_{2n+1}$ are in bijection with the positive roots of the root system B_{n+1} together with a sign labeling each short root.

Proof. By Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2, the classifications of symplectic indecomposables over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ coincide. Hence the first statement follows from [4].

Again by [4], the indecomposable $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$-representations of $A^{\mathfrak{o}}_{2n+1}$ are in bijection with the positive roots of B_{n+1}. By Lemma 6.1, to extend this bijection to $\mathbb{F}_q$-representations the simple roots, which correspond to the symmetric orthogonal representations, must be decorated with a sign labeling a class in $H^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q, O_1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$. □

There is also a version of Proposition 6.3 for A_{2n}, proved in the same way, using [4, Proposition 3.8]. However, in this case the set of self-dual indecomposable $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$-representations does not appear to have a root space interpretation.

Suppose N is a self-dual representation with underlying representation $I^{\oplus m}_{-i,i}$. Given $u \in N_i$, let $\overline{u} \in N_{-i}$ be the unique element that maps to u under the structure maps of N; in general a number of structure maps must be inverted. Then $B_N(u, u') = \langle \overline{u}, u' \rangle$ defines an orthogonal form on N_i when $Q^{\mathfrak{g}}$ has forms and a symplectic form otherwise.

Definition. *Let $R^{\oplus m, c}_i$ be a self-dual representation with underlying representation isomorphic to $I^{\oplus m}_{-i,i}$ and with associated bilinear form B of type $c \in \mathcal{W}$.*

When $Q^{\mathfrak{g}}$ has no forms, $\mathcal{W} = 0$ and we omit c from the notation. Otherwise, $R^{\oplus m, c}_i$ is defined up to isometry. We call c a Witt label. It will be convenient to fix $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_q \backslash \mathbb{F}_q^2$ and identify $x_1^+ \in \mathcal{W}$ with $1 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $x_1^- \in \mathcal{W}$ with δ.

Example. Let $k_j = \mathbb{F}_q$ supported at the node j. For equioriented $A^{\mathfrak{sp}}_{2n}$, we can take R^c_i to be

$$k_{-i} \xrightarrow{1} \cdots \xrightarrow{1} k_{-2} \xrightarrow{1} k_{-1} \xrightarrow{(-1)^{i-1}c} k_1 \xrightarrow{-1} k_2 \xrightarrow{-1} \cdots \xrightarrow{-1} k_i$$

Similalry, for equioriented $A^{\mathfrak{o}}_{2n}$ we can take R^c_i to be

$$k_{-i} \xrightarrow{1} \cdots \xrightarrow{1} k_{-1} \xrightarrow{1} k_0 \xrightarrow{(-1)^{i-1}c} k_1 \xrightarrow{-1} k_2 \xrightarrow{-1} \cdots \xrightarrow{-1} k_i$$

where the orthogonal form on k_0 has determinant $(-1)^i c$. ◁

Example. $R_i^{\oplus 2, x_2^+} = H(I_{-i,i})$, the i^{th} subspace of $I_{-i,i} \subset H(I_{-i,i})$ being a Lagrangian for B. $\triangleleft$

A weak version of the Krull-Schmidt theorem holds for self-dual $\mathbb{F}_q$-representations. Namely, any self-dual representation decomposes into an orthogonal direct sum of self-dual indecomposables. However, this decomposition is not unique if $Q^{\mathfrak{g}}$ has forms. The Witt label of each isotypic component $R_i^{\oplus m,c}$ is determined, but the labels of the individual summands are not. In any case, combining this with Proposition 6.3, we find that isometry classes of representations of $Q^{\mathfrak{g}}$ are in bijection with a subset of the set of all functions

$$\omega : \Delta_S \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times L.$$

Here Δ_S labels the set of self-dual indecomposable $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$-representations of Q. When $Q^{\mathfrak{g}}$ has no forms we take $L = \{0\}$, there are no extra conditions on ω and the ambiguity in the Krull-Schmidt theorem is not present. For example, when $Q = Q' \sqcup Q'^{op}$ for Q' of type ADE, then $\Delta_S = \Delta^+$ is the set of positive roots associated to Q', as follows from Gabriel's Theorem. When $Q^{\mathfrak{g}}$ has forms, take $L = \mathcal{W}$ and require the $\mathcal{W}$-label of $r \in \Delta_S$ to be x_2^+ if r has no forms and to be of appropriate dimension otherwise. Addition of functions, using the group law in $\mathcal{W}$, agrees with orthogonal direct sum of representations. Note that this correspondence is independent of the finite field, although representatives of the functions ω are not.

7. FINITE TYPE HALL MODULES

When Q is finite type is the embedding $U_\nu^+(\mathfrak{g}_Q) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_Q$ of Theorem 4.1 an isomorphism. For all other Q the Hall algebra is much larger and lacks an explicit description. With this in mind, in this section we restrict attention to Hall modules of finite type quivers with involution.

We first describe the Hall modules $\mathcal{M}_{Q^{\sqcup}}$ for arbitrary Q. Let $\mathcal{H}_Q^{op-cop}$ be the algebra-coalgebra obtained from $\mathcal{H}_Q$ by taking the opposite multiplication and comultiplication. There are canonical isomorphisms $\mathcal{H}_{Q^{op}} \simeq \mathcal{H}_Q^{op-cop}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{Q^{\sqcup}} \simeq \mathcal{H}_Q \otimes \mathcal{H}_Q^{op-cop}$.

Proposition 7.1. *For arbitrary Q, $\mathcal{M}_{Q^{\sqcup}} \simeq \mathcal{H}_Q$ as left $\mathcal{H}_Q \otimes \mathcal{H}_Q^{op-cop}$-(co)modules.*

Proof. The assignment $[X] \mapsto [H(X)]$ extends to an R-module isomorphism $\mathcal{H}_Q \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{Q^{\sqcup}}$. Any subrepresentation of $H(X)$ is of the form $U_1 \oplus S(U_2)$ for some $U_1, U_2 \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(Q)$. This subrepresentation is isotropic if and only if $S(U_2) \subset S(X/U_1)$. Summing over the possible isomorphisms types of X/U_1 gives

$$G^{H(X)}_{U_1 \oplus S(U_2), H(Y)} = \sum_{W \in Iso(Q)} F^X_{U_1,W} F^{S(W)}_{S(U_2),S(Y)}.$$

Exactness of S implies $F^{S(W)}_{S(U_2),S(Y)} = F^W_{Y,U_2}$, from which we obtain

$$G^{H(X)}_{U_1 \oplus S(U_2), H(Y)} = \sum_{W \in Iso(Q)} F^X_{U_1,W} F^W_{Y,U_2}. \tag{12}$$

In the untwisted case, equation (12) shows that $G^{H(X)}_{U_1 \oplus S(U_2), H(Y)}$ is the coefficient of $[X]$ in $[U_1][Y][U_2]$, all multiplication being in $\mathcal{H}_Q$. This proves $\mathcal{M}_{Q^{\sqcup}} \simeq \mathcal{H}_Q$ as $\mathcal{H}_Q \otimes \mathcal{H}_Q^{op}$-modules. Using

$$Aut(U_1 \oplus S(U_2)) \simeq Aut(U_1) \times Aut(U_2), \quad \text{Aut}_S(H(X)) \simeq \text{Aut}(X),$$

a similar argument establishes the isomorphism of comodules.

If $\mathcal{H}_Q$ is twisted by a cocycle c, then the twisting cocycle of $\mathcal{H}_Q^{op-cop}$ is

$$c^{op}(S(\alpha_1), S(\alpha_2)) = c(\alpha_2, \alpha_1), \quad \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K(\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(Q)).$$

The twist for $\mathcal{M}_{Q^{\sqcup}}$ is $\tilde{c}(\gamma, \alpha) = c(\gamma, \alpha) + \mathcal{E}(\alpha)$, where the modification $\mathcal{E}$ is given by $\mathcal{E}(\alpha_1 + S(\alpha_2)) = c(\alpha_2, \alpha_1)$. The argument now proceeds as in the untwisted case. $\square$

Next, we describe finite type Hall modules when there are no forms.

Theorem 7.2. *If (Q, σ) is finite type and $Q^{\mathfrak{g}}$ has no forms, then $\mathcal{M}_Q^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is generated by $[0]$ and*

$$\mathcal{M}_Q^{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq V_\sigma(\lambda_{triv})_\nu.$$

Proof. That $\mathcal{M}_{Q'^{\sqcup}}$ is generated by $[0]$ follows at once from Proposition 7.1. Note that this does not require Q' to be finite type.

For $A_{2n}^{\mathfrak{o}}$ and $A_{2n+1}^{\mathfrak{sp}}$, all self-dual representations are hyperbolic. Let $M = H(U)$. Writing U as a direct sum of indecomposables, we have

$$M \simeq_S \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} H(I_i)^{\oplus m_i}$$

for positive integers m_i and indecomposables I_i such that $I_i \not\simeq I_j$ and $I_i \not\simeq S(I_j)$ for $i \neq j$. Relabeling if necessary, we may suppose $S(I_i) \preceq I_i \prec I_{i+1} \prec \cdots \prec I_l$, $i = 1, \ldots, l$, in the Auslander-Reiten order. This implies $Ext^1(S(I_i), I_j) = 0$ for all $i \leq j$, which by duality gives $Ext^1(S(I_j), I_i) = 0$ for all $i \geq j$. Hence $Ext^1(S(U), U) = 0$ and we find

$$[U] \star [0] = \nu^{\mathcal{E}(U)} G_{U,0}^{H(U)} [H(U)].$$

As $G_{U,0}^{H(U)}$ is always non-zero, it follows that $\mathcal{M}_Q^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is generated $[0]$.

When Q is finite type the Hall algebra is generated by simple representations. From this and Proposition 5.9 we conclude $\mathcal{M}_Q^{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq V_\sigma(\lambda_{triv})_\nu$. $\square$

Remark 7.1. The proof of Theorem 7.2 shows that when Q is finite type $\mathbf{M}_Q^{\mathfrak{g}}$ contains all hyperbolic representations.

In the presence of forms $\mathcal{M}_Q$ is no longer cyclic. For $A_{2n+1}^{\mathfrak{o}}$ this follows at once from the fact that its Witt group is isomorphic to the classical Witt group. While the Witt group of $A_{2n}^{\mathfrak{sp}}$ is trivial, all self-dual representations being metabolic, $\mathcal{M}_{A_{2n}}^{\mathfrak{sp}}$ is also not cyclic. For example $\mathbf{M}_{A_{2n}}^{\mathfrak{sp}}$ contains $[R_1^+] + [R_1^-]$ but neither $[R_1^+]$ nor $[R_1^-]$.

Consider first $\mathcal{M}_{A_{2n}}^{\mathfrak{sp}}$. Let $\mathcal{W}_1 = \{x_1^+, x_1^-\} \subset \mathcal{W}$ and let $\mathcal{W}_1^i$ be its i-fold product. Set $z_0 = [0]$. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$ define

$$z_i = \sum_{\underline{c} \in \mathcal{W}_1^i} a_{\underline{c}} [R^{\underline{c}}] \in \mathcal{M}_{A_{2n}}^{\mathfrak{sp}}$$

where $R^{\underline{c}} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{i} R_j^{c_j}$ and $a_{\underline{c}} = \prod_{j \text{ odd}} c_j$, with $x_1^{\pm}$ being identified with $\pm 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\lambda_i^{\mathfrak{sp}}$ be the σ-weight of the dimension vector of z_i. Explicitly, $\lambda_n^{\mathfrak{sp}} = 0$ and

$$\lambda_i^{\mathfrak{sp}} = -\epsilon_{-(i+1)}^{\vee} - \epsilon_{i+1}^{\vee}, \quad i = 0 \ldots, n-1$$

where $\epsilon_i^{\vee}$ is dual to the dimension vector ϵ_i.

Proposition 7.3. *If Q is equioriented A_{2n}, then there is an inclusion of $B_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n+1})_{\nu}$-modules*

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} V_{\sigma}(\lambda_i^{\mathfrak{sp}})_{\nu} \subset \mathcal{M}_Q^{\mathfrak{sp}}.$$

Proof. For $j > 0$ we have

$$R_j^c // S_j \simeq_S \begin{cases} R_{j-1}^c, & \text{if } \eta(-1) = 1 \\ R_{j-1}^{\varphi(c)}, & \text{if } \eta(-1) = -1 \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

Here $\varphi(x_1^{\pm}) = x_1^{\mp}$ and $\eta : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the quadratic character. That Q is equioriented implies $R^{\underline{c}}$ contains at most one (necessarily isotropic) subrepresentation isomorphic to S_j if $1 \leq j \leq i$ and none otherwise. Using this and equation (13), it is straightforward to verify that the choice of coefficients $a_{\underline{c}}$ in z_i ensures $E_j z_i = 0$ for all $j \in Q_0$. The method of proof of Proposition 5.9 can now be used to verify that the subrepresentation generated by z_i is isomorphic to $V_{\sigma}(\lambda_i^{\mathfrak{sp}})_{\nu}$. ☐

The situation for $Q = A_{2n+1}^{\mathfrak{o}}$ requires a slight refinement. For $b \in \mathcal{W}$, let $G^b = \{\underline{c} \in \mathcal{W}_1^{i+1} \mid \sum_{j=0}^{i} c_j = b\}$. For each $0 \leq i \leq n$, this defines a partition $\bigsqcup_{b \in \mathcal{W}} G^b = \mathcal{W}_1^{i+1}$. Put

$$z_i^b = \sum_{\underline{c} \in G^b} a_{\underline{c}} [R^{\underline{c}}] \in \mathcal{M}_{A_{2n+1}}^{\mathfrak{o}}$$

$R^{\underline{c}} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{i} R_j^{c_j}$ and $a_{\underline{c}} = \prod_{j \text{ odd}} c_j$. Let $\lambda_i^{\mathfrak{o}}$ be the σ-weight of the dimension vector z_i^b and $\lambda_-^{\mathfrak{o}}$ the σ-weight of $2\epsilon_0$. Explicitly,

$$\lambda_-^{\mathfrak{o}} = -2\epsilon_{-1}^{\vee} + 4\epsilon_0^{\vee} - 2\epsilon_1^{\vee}, \quad \lambda_i^{\mathfrak{o}} = -\epsilon_{-(i+1)}^{\vee} + 2\epsilon_0^{\vee} - \epsilon_{i+1}^{\vee}, \quad i = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

If $n = 0$ the terms involving $\epsilon_{\pm 1}^{\vee}$ should be omitted.

Proposition 7.4. *If Q is equioriented A_{2n+1}, then there is an inclusion of $B_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n+2})_{\nu}$-modules*

$$V_{\sigma}(0)_{\nu} \oplus V_{\sigma}(\lambda_-^{\mathfrak{o}})_{\nu} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} V_{\sigma}(\lambda_i^{\mathfrak{o}})_{\nu}^{\oplus 2} \subset \mathcal{M}_Q^{\mathfrak{o}}$$

Proof. The submodule $V_{\sigma}(0)_{\nu}$ is the composition submodule in Proposition 5.9, while $V_{\sigma}(\lambda_-^{\mathfrak{o}})_{\nu}$ is generated by the class of the two dimensional orthogonal space of Witt index zero. The remaining summands are generated by z_i^b; there are two of each since $\lambda_i^{\mathfrak{o}}$ does not depend on b. The rest of the proof mirrors that of Proposition 7.3. ☐

The irreducible submodule of $\mathcal{M}_{A_{2n+1}}^{\mathfrak{o}}$ generated by z_i^b belongs to the submodule of representations with Witt label $\sum_i (-1)^i c_i \in \mathcal{W}$. Roughly speaking, the submodules in Proposition 7.4 are spread evenly among the four submodules of $\mathcal{M}_{A_{2n+1}}^{\mathfrak{o}}$ indexed by the Witt group of $A_{2n}^{\mathfrak{o}}$.

A proof of the following conjecture would complete the description of Hall modules of finite self-dual type quivers.

Conjecture 7.5. *The inclusions in Propositions 7.3 and 7.4 are equalities and hold for all σ-compatible orientations.*

8. Self-dual Hall polynomials

In this section we prove existence of self-dual Hall polynomials for equioriented type A quivers without forms. The situation is more complicated when forms are present; we prove that self-dual Hall polynomials exist only once the residue of q modulo four is fixed. This provides a first step to constructing generic finite type Hall modules and hence to quantizing the $B_\sigma(\mathfrak{g}_Q)_\nu$-modules obtained in Section 7.

Definition. *Fix $\alpha : \Delta^+ \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\omega, \chi : \Delta_S \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times L$. A polynomial $g^{\omega}_{\alpha,\chi} \in \mathbb{Q}[q]$ satisfying*

$$g^{\omega}_{\alpha,\chi}(q) = G^{N(\omega)}_{U(\alpha),N(\chi)}$$

for all odd prime powers q, the representations on the right-hand side being defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$, is called a self-dual Hall polynomial.

If instead there exists a pair of polynomials $\{g^{\omega}_{\alpha,\chi}, \overline{g}^{\omega}_{\alpha,\chi}\}$ specializing to the appropriate self-dual Hall numbers for q fixed residue modulo 4, we refer to the pair as self-dual Hall semi-polynomials.

Example. When $Q = A_1$, orthogonal and symplectic Hall polynomials are equal to the polynomials counting the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points of isotropic Grassmannians. $\triangleleft$

Example. Symplectic Hall polynomials do not exist for equioriented A_4^{sp}, since for example

$$G^{R_2^+}_{S_2,R_1^+} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right\} := \left\{ \begin{matrix} 1, & \eta(-1) = 1 \\ 0, & \eta(-1) = -1 \end{matrix} \right.$$

The notation $\{\cdot\}$ is a short hand for the rightmost expression. We will see below that Hall semi-polynomials exist. In this example, $g^{R_2^+}_{S_2,R_1^+} = 1$ and $\overline{g}^{R_2^+}_{S_2,R_1^+} = 0$. $\triangleleft$

We make a general conjecture regarding existence of self-dual Hall semi-polynomials.

Conjecture 8.1. *Let (Q,σ) be finite type.*

(1) If $Q^{\mathfrak{g}}$ has no forms, then self-dual Hall polynomials exist and have coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$.

(2) If $Q^{\mathfrak{g}}$ has forms, then self-dual Hall semi-polynomials exist and have coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$.

The existence of Hall polynomials and equation (12) immediately give the following.

Proposition 8.2. *Conjecture 8.1 holds for finite type disjoint union quivers with involution.*

Hence, we can restrict attention to type A quivers. We first reduce Conjecture 8.1 to the case when α is a simple representation. Let R be $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$, as in Conjecture 8.1, and put for $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$[n] = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} \in \mathbb{Z}[q].$$

Proposition 8.3. *Let (Q,σ) be of Dynkin type A. If self-dual Hall (semi-)polynomials exist when α is a simple representation, then self-dual Hall (semi-)polynomials exist.*

Proof. Our proof follows the method of [21] and ultimately relies on Theorem 3.4 and the fact that the Hall algebra of a finite type quiver is generated by simples. We work without twists.

By assumption $g^N_{S_i,M} \in R[q]$ exists for all M, N. Assuming $g^N_{S_i^{\oplus d},M} \in R[q]$ exists, define

$$g^N_{S_i^{\oplus(d+1)},M} = \frac{1}{[d+1]} \sum_P g^N_{S_i,P} g^P_{S_i^{\oplus d},M} \in \mathbb{Q}(q). \tag{14}$$

Since $[S_i][S_i^{\oplus d}] = [d+1][S_i^{\oplus(d+1)}]$, equation (1) implies that $g^N_{S_i^{\oplus(d+1)},M}$ specializes to $G^N_{S_i^{\oplus(d+1)},M}$ for each odd prime power q, possibly with fixed residue in $\mathbb{Z}_4$. This ensures $g^N_{S_i^{\oplus d},M} \in \mathbb{Q}[q]$. From equation (14), $[d+1]g^N_{S_i^{\oplus(d+1)},M} \in R[q]$ which implies $g^N_{S_i^{\oplus(d+1)},M} \in R[q]$. Hence $g^{\omega}_{\alpha,\chi}$ exists if α is a direct sum of simples of a single type.

We now proceed by induction on dim U. If $U = 0$ put $g^N_{U,M} = \delta^N_M$. Suppose self-dual Hall semi-Hall polynomials exist for representations with dimension less than dim U. Write $U = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r I_i^{\oplus m_i}$ with $I_1 \prec \cdots \prec I_l$. Suppose $r \geq 2$, put $U' = I_1^{\oplus m_1}$ and $U'' = \bigoplus_{i=2}^r I^{\oplus m_i}$ and define

$$g^N_{U,M} = \sum_P g^N_{U',P} g^P_{U'',M} \in R[q].$$

Specializing to q, equation (1) shows

$$g^N_{U,M}(q) = \sum_{V \in Iso(Q)} F^V_{U',U''} G^N_{V,M}.$$

But $F^V_{U',U''} = \delta^V_U$ by definition of U' and U''. Hence $g^N_{U,M}(q) = G^N_{U,M}$.

Finally, if $U = I^{\oplus m}$, write $\mathbf{dim}\, U = \sum_{i \in Q_0} d_i \epsilon_i$ with $S_i \prec S_j$ if $i < j$. Then

$$[U] = [S_1^{\oplus d_1}] \cdots [S_r^{\oplus d_r}] - \sum_V [V] \in \mathcal{H}_Q,$$

the sum being over classes of representations V, $V \not\simeq U$, with dimension vector $\mathbf{dim}\, U$. Then

$$g^N_{U,M} = \sum_{N_1,\ldots,N_r} g^N_{S_1^{\oplus d_1},N_1} \cdots g^{N_r}_{S_r^{\oplus d_r},M} - \sum_V g^N_{V,M} \in R[q],$$

specializes at q to $G^N_{U,M}$ and completes the proof. $\square$

We now move towards a proof of Conjecture 8.1 when Q is an equioriented type A quiver. Fix $i \in Q_0$ and suppose that $N_h = H(U)$, where

$$U = \bigoplus_{-(i-1) \leq j \leq i} I_{j,i}^{\oplus m_j}$$

for some non-negative integers m_j. If $W \overset{\perp}{\subset} N$ is isomorphic to S_i, then necessarily $W \subset U$. It follows that $N /\!/ W \simeq_S H(U/W)$ and we conclude

$$G^{H(U)}_{S_i,H(V)} = F^U_{S_i,V}. \tag{15}$$

If instead $N_s = R_i^{\oplus m,c}$, the bilinear form B_N induces a partition of the space of lines in N_i,

$$\mathbb{P}^{m-1} \simeq \mathbb{P}N_i = \mathcal{Q}_0 \sqcup \mathcal{Q}_+ \sqcup \mathcal{Q}_-. \tag{16}$$

Here $\mathcal{Q}_b = \{\ell \in \mathbb{P}N_i \mid \eta(B_N(\ell,\ell)) = b\}$. If needed, we write $\mathcal{Q}^c_b$ to indicate the type $c \in \mathcal{W}$ of B_N.

Lemma 8.4. *With the above notation,*

$$R_i^{\oplus m,c} /\!/ W \simeq_S \begin{cases} R_i^{\oplus m-2,c} \oplus H(I_{-(i-1),i}), & \textit{if } W \in \mathcal{Q}_0 \\ R_i^{\oplus m-1,c-b} \oplus R_i^b /\!/ S_i, & \textit{if } W \in \mathcal{Q}_b, \ \ b = \pm 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Recall that if $0 \neq w \in W$, we denote by $\overline{w} \in N_i$ the unique element with image w under the structure maps of N. If $W \in \mathcal{Q}_0$, then $\langle \overline{w}, w \rangle = 0$. The subrepresentation $\langle \overline{w} \rangle \subset R_i^{\oplus m,c}$ generated by $\overline{w}$ is then isotropic and isomorphic to $I_{-i,i}$, and contains W by definition. Hence, $N \simeq_S H(\langle \overline{w} \rangle) \oplus R_i^{\oplus m-2,c}$ with $W \subset H(\langle \overline{w} \rangle)$. The first statement now follows.

Similarly, if $W \in \mathcal{Q}_b$, $b = \pm 1$, then $\langle \overline{w} \rangle \subset R_i^{\oplus m,c}$ is isometric to R_i^b. Hence $N \simeq_S R_i^{\oplus m-1,c-b} \oplus R_i^b$ with $W \subset R_i^b$ and the second statement follows. $\square$

We now combine these two cases.

Lemma 8.5. *Let $N = N_h \oplus N_s$ as above. If $W \overset{\perp}{\subset} N$ is not contained in N_s, then*

$$N//W \simeq_S N_h//\pi(W) \oplus N_s,$$

where $\pi : N \to N_h$ is the canonical projection.

Proof. Let $0 \neq w \in W$. By assumption, there exist $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $w = F + \sum_j \alpha_j F_j$ where $F \in (N_h)_i$ and F_j is a basis vector for the j^{th} factor of R_i. Pick $E \in S(U)_{-i} \subset N_h$ with $\langle E, F\rangle = 1$. Then the vectors

$$v_j = E_j - \alpha_j E, \ \ j = 1, \dots, m$$

pair trivially with w and generate a non-degenerate subrepresentation $\langle v\rangle$ of N isometric to N_S. Indeed, the dimension vectors of both representations agree and the representations determine isometric bilinear forms on N_i since v_j and E_j have the same eventual image in N_i. This gives an orthogonal decomposition $N = \langle v\rangle \oplus \langle v\rangle^{\perp}$ with $W \subset \langle v\rangle^{\perp}$. Applying Krull-Schmidt gives $\langle v\rangle^{\perp} \simeq_S N_h$. Under these isomorphisms $W \subset N$ corresponds to $\pi(W) \subset N_h$. □

Theorem 8.6. *Conjecture 8.1 holds for equioriented type A quivers.*

Proof. By Proposition 8.3, it suffices to show that self-dual Hall semi-polynomials exist for the action of simple representations. An arbitrary self-dual representation N can be written uniquely as $N = N_h \oplus N_s \oplus N'$, with N_h, N_s as above and N' a non-degenerate summand that does not contain S_i as an isotropic subrepresentation. The summand N' has no effect on the computation of self-dual Hall numbers for the action of S_i. We can thus safely assume that $N' = 0$ in what follows.

Lemma 8.5 and equation (15) give

$$G^{H(U)\oplus N_s}_{S_i, H(V)\oplus N_s} = q^m F^U_{S_i,V}.$$

The existence of Hall polynomials implies that these numbers are polynomial in q with integer coefficients. Again by Lemma 8.5

$$G^{H(U)\oplus N_s}_{S_i, H(U)\oplus P} = G^{N_s}_{S_i,P}, \tag{17}$$

so that we must show that $G^{N_s}_{S_i,P}$ has the desired polynomial behaviour. Denote by $|\mathcal{Q}^c_b|$ the cardinality of the set $\mathcal{Q}^c_b$. Identify $x^{\pm}_i \in \mathcal{W}$ with $\pm 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and write $\overline{c}$ for $\varphi(c)$. Using [12, Theorems 6.24, 6.27], we compute

$$|\mathcal{Q}^c_0| = \begin{cases} [m-1], & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \\ [m-1] + cq^{\frac{m-2}{2}}, & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{Q}^c_{\pm}| = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(q^{m-1} \pm cq^{\frac{m-1}{2}}), & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{1}{2}(q^{m-1} - cq^{\frac{m-2}{2}}), & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Applying Lemma 8.4 we get

$$G^{R_i^{\oplus m,c}}_{S_i, R_i^{\oplus m-2,c} \oplus H(I_{-(i-1),i})} = |\mathcal{Q}^c_0|$$

which is polynomial in q with integer coefficients. In the case that $Q^{\mathfrak{g}}$ has no forms, the sets $\mathcal{Q}^c_{\pm}$ are empty and the proof is complete. Otherwise, it remains to deal with Hall numbers counting quotients of the second type in Lemma 8.4. When m is odd, we find

$$G^{R_i^{\oplus m,c}}_{S_i, R_i^{\oplus m-1, x_2^+} \oplus R^c_{i-1}} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} |\mathcal{Q}^c_c| \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right\}, \quad G^{R_i^{\oplus m,c}}_{S_i, R_i^{\oplus m-1, x_2^+} \oplus R^{\overline{c}}_{i-1}} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 \\ |\mathcal{Q}^c_c| \end{matrix} \right\}$$

and

$$G^{R_i^{\oplus m,c}}_{S_i,R_i^{\oplus m-1,x_2^-}\oplus R_{i-1}^{\overline{c}}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{Q}^c_{\overline{c}}| \\ 0 \end{array} \right\}, \quad G^{R_i^{\oplus m,c}}_{S_i,R_i^{\oplus m-1,x_2^-}\oplus R_{i-1}^{c}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ |\mathcal{Q}^c_{\overline{c}}| \end{array} \right\}$$

In these calculations we have used equation (13) to determine the dependence of the Hall numbers on $\eta(-1)$. From these expressions it is obvious that once the residue of q modulo four is fixed, these self-dual Hall numbers (17) are polynomial in q with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$.

When m is even, $|\mathcal{Q}^c_{\pm}|$ is independent of $\pm$. Hence the self-dual Hall numbers (17) for m even are polynomial in q with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$, with no condition on the residue of q. For example,

$$G^{R_i^{\oplus m,x_2^+}}_{S_i,R_i^{\oplus m-1,c}\oplus R_{i-1}^{c}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{Q}^{x_2^+}_{c}| \\ |\mathcal{Q}^{x_2^+}_{\overline{c}}| \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{2}(q^{m-1} - q^{\frac{m-2}{2}}).$$

This completes the proof. □

The subsets $\mathcal{Q}_{\pm}$ cause both the splitting of self-dual polynomials into semi-polynomials and the non-integrality of the coefficients. However, $|\mathcal{Q}_+ \sqcup \mathcal{Q}_-|$ is polynomial in q with integer coefficients. Indeed, this must be the case as $\mathcal{Q}_+ \sqcup \mathcal{Q}_-$ is a scheme (unlike $\mathcal{Q}_{\pm}$), so if it has a counting polynomial it must have integral coefficients [18]. Hall polynomials with non-integral coefficients were observed in a different context in [10].

Remark 8.1. We have seen that self-dual Hall polynomials exist for $A_1^{\mathfrak{o}}$ and, in the above proof, for $A_2^{\mathfrak{sp}}$. These cases are the exceptional: for all other $Q^{\mathfrak{g}}$ with forms it is only semi-polynomials that exist.

Remark 8.2. Partial results regarding the existence of symplectic Hall polynomials for the Jordan quiver were obtained in [28], who proved existence in the Lagrangian case.

The existence [21] of Hall polynomials $f^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ for finite type quivers allows a direct definition of a generic Hall algebra

$$\underline{\mathcal{H}}_Q = \bigoplus_{\alpha:\Delta^+\rightarrow\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathbb{Z}[v,v^{-1}]u_{\alpha}$$

with multiplication given by

$$u_{\alpha}u_{\beta} = v^{\langle\beta,\alpha\rangle}\sum_{\gamma} f^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(v^2)u_{\gamma}.$$

Theorem 4.1 is upgraded [20] to the statement that $\underline{\mathcal{H}}_Q$ is isomorphic to Lusztig's integral form $U_v^+(\mathfrak{g}_Q)_{\mathbb{Z}}$, and in particular, $\underline{\mathcal{H}}_Q \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[v,v^{-1}]} \mathbb{Q}(v) \simeq U_v^+(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$. The existence of a PBW basis for $U_v^+(\mathfrak{g}_Q)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ implies that the coalgebra structure constants are also specializations of universal polynomials with integer coefficients, from which it follows that $\underline{\mathcal{H}}_Q$ is a twisted bialgebra.

When Conjecture 8.1 holds we define the generic Hall module by

$$\underline{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathfrak{g}}_Q = \bigoplus_{\omega:\Delta_S\rightarrow\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\times L} R[v,v^{-1}]\xi_{\omega}$$

with $\underline{\mathcal{H}}_Q$-module structure

$$u_{\alpha}\xi_{\chi} = v^{\langle\chi,\alpha\rangle+\mathcal{E}(\alpha)}\sum_{\omega} g^{\omega}_{\alpha,\chi}(v^2)\xi_{\omega}.$$

When $Q^{\mathfrak{g}}$ has forms there are two generic Hall modules $\underline{\mathcal{M}}^{\pm}_Q$ with structure constants g and $\overline{g}$. To endow $\underline{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathfrak{g}}_Q$ with a comodule structure a version of Conjecture 8.1 is needed for the comodule structure constants. It would be nice to deduce this from a certain basis of weight modules, by analogy with the Hall algebra case.

Example. By Proposition 7.1, $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{Q^{\sqcup}}$ is well-defined when Q is finite type. Moreover, equation (12) can be used to show that the comodule structure constants are polynomial in q and hence that $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{Q^{\sqcup}}$ is a $B_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{g}_Q \oplus \mathfrak{g}_Q)$-module. From Theorem 7.2 it follows that $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{Q^{\sqcup}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[v,v^{-1}]} \mathbb{Q}(v) \simeq V_{\sigma}(0)$. $\triangleleft$

Example. The simplest Dynkin example is $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{A_1}^{\mathfrak{sp}}$. The comodule structure constants count the reciprocal of the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points of unipotent radicals of maximal parabolic subgroups of the symplectic group and so are polynomial in v^{-2}. Hence $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{A_1}^{\mathfrak{sp}}$ is a $B_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module and $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{A_1}^{\mathfrak{sp}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[v,v^{-1}]} \mathbb{Q}(v) \simeq V_{\sigma}(\lambda_{triv})$.

Similarly, we find $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{A_1}^{\mathfrak{o}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][v,v^{-1}]} \mathbb{Q}(v) \simeq V_{\sigma}(0) \oplus V_{\sigma}(\lambda_{-}^{\mathfrak{o}}) \oplus V_{\sigma}(\lambda_{0}^{\mathfrak{o}})^{\oplus 2}$. $\triangleleft$

The next proposition shows that in an appropriate sense, the generic Hall module is insensitive to the splitting of self-dual Hall polynomials when $Q^{\mathfrak{g}}$ has forms. Recall $\varphi \in Aut(\mathcal{W})$ is defined by $\varphi(x_1^{\pm}) = x_1^{\mp}$ and $\varphi(x_2^{\pm}) = x_2^{\pm}$. Extend this to an automorphism of $Iso_S(Q^{\mathfrak{g}})$, again denoted by φ. Define an R-linear map $\Psi : \underline{\mathcal{M}}_Q^{+} \to \underline{\mathcal{M}}_Q^{-}$ by $[M] \mapsto [\varphi^{\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil}(M)]$ where $m = \dim M$ and $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ is the ceiling function.

Theorem 8.7. *If Q is an equioriented type A Dynkin quiver, the map $\Psi : \underline{\mathcal{M}}_Q^{+} \to \underline{\mathcal{M}}_Q^{-}$ is a $\underline{\mathcal{H}}_Q$-module isomorphism.*

Proof. We establish two symmetries of self-dual Hall semi-polynomials. First, we prove that $g_{U,M}^{N} = g_{U,\varphi(M)}^{\varphi(N)}$. An analogous identity holds for $\overline{g}_{U,M}^{N}$. When U is simple, the identity is checked directly using the explicit self-dual Hall semi-polynomials from the proof of Theorem 8.6. The rest of the proof can then be carried out by induction on the Auslander-Reiten quiver, as in Proposition 8.3. For example, with notation as in the proof of Proposition 8.3 and assuming we have established the identity when U has at least two isotypic components, if $U = I^{\oplus m}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} g_{U,M}^{N} &= \sum_{N_1,\dots,N_r} g_{S_1^{\oplus d_1},N_1}^{N} \cdots g_{S_r^{\oplus d_r},M}^{N_r} - \sum_{V} g_{V,M}^{N} \\ &= \sum_{N_1,\dots,N_r} \overline{g}_{S_1^{\oplus d_1},\varphi(N_1)}^{\varphi(N)} \cdots \overline{g}_{S_r^{\oplus d_r},\varphi(M)}^{\varphi(N_r)} - \sum_{V} g_{V,\varphi(M)}^{\varphi(N)} \\ &= g_{U,\varphi(M)}^{\varphi(N)} \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, self-dual Hall polynomials different classes in $\mathbb{Z}_4$ are related by the identity $g_{U,M}^{N} = \overline{g}_{U,\varphi^{u}(M)}^{N}$. This can be proved in the same was as the first identity.

Denote the action of $\underline{\mathcal{H}}_Q$ on $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_Q^{\pm}$ by $\star_{\pm}$. Using the two identities, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi([U] \star_{+} [M]) &= \sum_{N} g_{U,M}^{N} [\varphi^{\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + u}(N)] \\ &= \sum_{N} \overline{g}_{U,\varphi^{u}(M)}^{N} [\varphi^{\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + u}(N)] \\ &= \sum_{N} \overline{g}_{U,\varphi^{u}(M)}^{\varphi^{\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + u}(N)} [N] \\ &= \sum_{N} \overline{g}_{U,\varphi^{\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil}(M)}^{N} [N] = [U] \star_{-} \Psi([M]) \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

We believe that Theorem 8.7 should hold for arbitrary σ-compatible orientations. Provided $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_Q^{\pm}$ has a comodule structure, using $a_S(N) = a_S(\varphi(N))$ it would follow immediately from Theorem 8.7 that Ψ is a also comodule, and hence $B_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$-module isomorphism.

We expect that in certain cases $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_Q^{\mathfrak{g}}$ provides a sort of integral form of $V_\sigma(\lambda)$. We saw above that $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{Q^\sqcup}$ is such an example. We expect that $\mathcal{M}_{A_{2n}}^{\mathfrak{o}}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{A_{2n}}^{\mathfrak{sp}}$ make up the remaining examples, although in the later case the coefficients should be $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$. The next result addresses part of this problem for equioriented $A_{2n}^{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Theorem 8.8. *If Q is equioriented A_{2n}, then $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_Q^{\mathfrak{o}}$ is generated by $[0]$ as a $\underline{\mathcal{H}}_Q$-module.*

Proof. This is a refined version of Theorem 7.2 since we work over $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$.

Consider first an orthogonal representation $H(U)$ with no symmetric factors. Maintaining notation as in the proof of Theorem 7.2, the additional hypothesis $S(I_i) \prec I_i$ implies $Hom(U, S(U)) = 0$. There is thus a single Lagrangian embedding $U \hookrightarrow H(U)$, showing

$$[U] \star [0] = v^{\mathcal{E}(U)}[H(U)].$$

Let $V = \bigoplus_{l \geq 1} I_{1,l}^{\oplus 2m_l}$ with $m_l \geq 0$ and set $N_V = H(\bigoplus_{l\geq 1} I_{-l,l}^{\oplus m_l})$. We claim that

$$[U \oplus V] \star [0] = v^{\mathcal{E}(U \oplus V)}[H(U) \oplus N_V] + \Delta \tag{18}$$

where Δ is a linear combination of self-dual representations containing less indecomposable symmetric summands than N_V.

That the coefficient of $[H(U) \oplus N_V]$ in equation (18) is $v^{\mathcal{E}(U\oplus V)}$ is equivalent to the assertion $G_{U\oplus V,0}^{H(U)\oplus N_V} = 1$. We have seen $Hom(U, S(U)) = 0$. Similarly, the condition $S(I_i) \prec I_i$ ensures $Hom(U, N_V) = 0$. Hence the canonical map $U \oplus V \hookrightarrow H(U) \oplus N_V$ is the unique Lagrangian embedding of $U \oplus V$.

The representations appearing in $[U \oplus V] \star [0]$ arise from $Ext^1(S(U \oplus V), U \oplus V)^S$. We have

$$Ext^1(S(U \oplus V), U \oplus V)^S = (Ext^1(S(V), U) \oplus Ext^1(S(U), V))^S \oplus Ext^1(S(V), V)^S,$$

the summand $Ext^1(S(U), U)^S$ vanishing by the assumptions on U. Symmetric orthogonal representations arise only from the summand $Ext^1(S(V), V)^S$. Moreover, all elements in $Ext^1(S(V), V)^S$ except N_V contain at least one non-symmetric summand. This establishes equation (18).

An arbitrary orthogonal representation may be written as $H(U) \oplus N$, where $H(U)$ contains no symmetric summands and N contains only symmetric summands. To show that $[H(U) \oplus N] \in \underline{\mathcal{H}}_Q \star [0]$ we proceed by induction on the number of indecomposable summands of N. The case $N = 0$ was established above. If the statement holds for orthogonal representations having less indecomposable symmetric summands than $H(U) \oplus N$, equation (18) gives

$$[H(U) \oplus N_V] = v^{-\mathcal{E}(U\oplus V)}([U \oplus V] \star [0] - \Delta) \in \underline{\mathcal{H}}_Q \star [0]$$

completing the proof. $\square$

Remark 8.3. The same proof shows that for arbitrary orientation, $\mathcal{M}_{A_{2n}}^{\mathfrak{o}}$ is generated by $[0]$ over $\mathbb{Z}[\nu, \nu^{-1}]$.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, and S. Smalø. *Representation theory of Artin algebras*, volume 36 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[2] P. Balmer. Witt groups. In *Handbook of K-theory. Vol. 1, 2*, pages 539–576. Springer, Berlin, 2005.

[3] A. Berenstein and J. Greenstein. Primitively generated Hall algebras. arXiv:1209.2770, 2012.

[4] H. Derksen and J. Weyman. Generalized quivers associated to reductive groups. *Colloq. Math.*, 94(2):151–173, 2002.

[5] N. Enomoto. A quiver construction of symmetric crystals. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 12:2200–2247, 2009.

[6] N. Enomoto and M. Kashiwara. Symmetric crystals for $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 44(3):837–891, 2008.

[7] Z. Fan. Geometric approach to the Hall algebra of representations of quviers over local rings. arXiv:1012.5257v4, 2010.

[8] J. Green. Hall algebras, hereditary algebras and quantum groups. *Invent. Math.*, 120(2):361–377, 1995.

[9] A. Hubery. From triangulated categories to Lie algebras: a theorem of Peng and Xiao. In *Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics*, volume 406 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 51–66. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.

[10] A. Hubery. Hall polynomials for affine quivers. *Represent. Theory*, 14:355–378, 2010.

[11] M. Kapranov. Eisenstein series and quantum affine algebras. *J. Math. Sci. (New York)*, 84(5):1311–1360, 1997.

[12] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter. *Finite fields*, volume 20 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1997. With a foreword by P. M. Cohn.

[13] G. Lusztig. Canonical bases and Hall algebras. In *Representation theories and algebraic geometry (Montreal, PQ, 1997)*, volume 514 of *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci.*, pages 365–399. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998.

[14] G. Lusztig. Graded Lie algebras and intersection cohomology. In *Representation theory of algebraic groups and quantum groups*, volume 284 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 191–224. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2010.

[15] H.-G. Quebbemann, W. Scharlau, and M. Schulte. Quadratic and Hermitian forms in additive and abelian categories. *J. Algebra*, 59(2):264–289, 1979.

[16] D. Quillen. The Adams conjecture. *Topology*, 10:67–80, 1971.

[17] D. Quillen. Higher algebraic K-theory. I. In *Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972)*, pages 85–147. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 341. Springer, Berlin, 1973.

[18] M. Reineke. Counting rational points of quiver moduli. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, pages Art. ID 70456, 19, 2006.

[19] C. Ringel. Hall algebras. In *Topics in algebra, Part 1 (Warsaw, 1988)*, volume 26 of *Banach Center Publ.*, pages 433–447. PWN, Warsaw, 1990.

[20] C. Ringel. Hall algebras and quantum groups. *Invent. Math.*, 101(3):583–591, 1990.

[21] C. Ringel. Hall polynomials for the representation-finite hereditary algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 84(2):137–178, 1990.

[22] O. Schiffmann. Lectures on Hall algebras. arXiv:math/0611617v2.

[23] O. Schiffmann and E. Vasserot. The elliptic Hall algebra, Cherednik Hecke algebras and Macdonald polynomials. *Compos. Math.*, 147(1):188–234, 2011.

[24] J.-P. Serre. *Galois cohomology*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. English edition.

[25] B. Sevenhant and M. Van Den Bergh. A relation between a conjecture of Kac and the structure of the Hall algebra. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 160(2-3):319–332, 2001.

[26] M. Tadić. Structure arising from induction and Jacquet modules of representations of classical p-adic groups. *J. Algebra*, 177(1):1–33, 1995.

[27] M. van Leeuwen. An application of Hopf-algebra techniques to representations of finite classical groups. *J. Algebra*, 140(1):210–246, 1991.

[28] E. Zabric. Hall polynomials for symplectic groups. II. *J. Algebra*, 181(3):663–696, 1996.

[29] A. Zelevinsky. *Representations of finite classical groups*, volume 869 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981. A Hopf algebra approach.